



Climate Change is a “Reproductive Health Crisis”?

Or so the Abortion Movement would have us believe

BY STEVEN MOSHER AND SAMANTHA LEJEUNE

The increasingly pathetic Progressive movement specializes in something called “intersectionality.” This is a fancy term for building coalitions between different groups that have nothing whatsoever in common except a lust for power.

Radical Muslims and the LGBT activists despise each other, for instance, so much so that the radical Muslims would be happy to throw homosexuals off the roofs of buildings if they were in power. Yet, in an example of “intersectionality” in practice, they have joined forces in support of the Iranian Mullahs.



Getty Images/FG Trade

The latest example of intersectionality, however, is even weirder. The international abortion movement, seeking to capitalize on the fear of “climate change,” is joining together with climate activists to argue that the weather is a “reproductive health crisis.”

At first glance, you might wonder what the weather has to do with birth control or abortion. But intersectionality doesn’t have to make sense. It just has to forge coalitions and grab headlines.

As to exactly what kind of “climate-driven reproductive health

(continued on page 4)

- | | | | |
|---|---|----|--|
| 2 | Abortion in the 21st Century | 10 | You Keep PRI’s Projects Running at Full Steam! |
| 5 | <i>Crusade of Eucharistic Reparation Manual</i> | 11 | From the Countries |
| 7 | The Left Can’t Stop Talking About Abortion | 12 | PRI in the News |
| 9 | Is Immigration a Solution to Falling Fertility Rates? | | |



Abortion in the 21st Century

The Same Old Agenda Repackaged as a Pill

BY CARLOS BELTRAMO AND CARLOS POLO

Abortion in the 21st century has morphed into a new animal, different in appearance, language, and strategy. The new paradigm is global, highly coordinated, and very sophisticated. In order to confront and defeat it, it must be understood.

For decades, the public battle regarding abortion focused on laws. The objective of the abortion movement was to legalize abortion, open clinics, obtain state funding, and promote a medical procedure performed by professionals in healthcare facilities. That model has not disappeared, but it is no longer the most important one. Today, the abortion agenda operates in a different arena, an arena involving pills, digital platforms, marketing, commercial distribution, and the private management of abortion from home.

This new abortion business has completely changed the rules of the games in six ways:

- **The Objective:** Previously, the main purpose of the abortion lobby was to change laws. Now, its objective is to make abortion universally accessible, even when this means operating outside the law or directly against it. The slogan is no longer simply to “legalize” abortion; now the goal is to “guarantee access” by any possible means.
- **The Strategy:** Previously, the major goal was to have abortion considered a right guaranteed by governments. Now, the strategy consists of normalizing it culturally in order to expand its social acceptance and maximize its market.
- The issue is no longer simply winning a vote in Congress, but transforming abortion into a socially tolerated, emotionally justified, and commercially available practice.
- **The Priority:** Previously, the goal was to multiply surgical abortions. Now, the priority is the widest possible dissemination of abortion pills.
- This change is significant: It reduces costs, eliminates medical intermediaries, makes oversight more difficult, and allows direct access to women through digital and commercial channels.
- **The Means of Execution:** Previously, abortion was a medical and legal act, dependent upon a gynecologist performing the procedure. Now, the objective is for the woman herself to manage her own abortion privately, in her home—with medical support only if something goes wrong. The woman ceases to be a patient under the care of a medical professional and instead becomes the solitary executor of the procedure.
- **The Dissemination:** Previously, healthcare infrastructure was required: clinics, hospitals, equipment, and medical person-

The Population Research Institute Review is published bimonthly by:

Population Research Institute

109 East Main St.
Front Royal VA 22630
(540)-622-5240
pri@pop.org
www.pop.org

Fr. Paul Marx, OSB, PhD
(1920-2010)
Founder

Steven W. Mosher
President

Thomas Mosher
Director of Administration

Carlos Polo
Director, PRI Latin American Office

Carlos Beltramo
Director, PRI European Office

Chiara McKenna
New Media Specialist

Design by
Perceptions Design Studio
Amherst, New Hampshire

nel. Now, marketing, digital platforms, mass distribution channels, and an efficient commercial network are sufficient. The machinery no longer requires an expensive operating room. All that's needed is a marketing campaign, a website, a WhatsApp line, and a postal service.

- **The Financing:** Previously, the goal was for the state to legalize and fund abortion for all women. Now, women are charged directly, with profits guaranteed through the sale of pills at high prices, and the costs of complications transferred to the public health system. To put it another way, the new abortion business privatizes profit while socializing risk.

This new paradigm represents a new and dangerous step in the expansion of abortion around the world for several reasons:

First, it further conceals the harm of abortion. The more private, solitary, and domestic the act becomes, the easier it is to implant the idea that nothing serious is happening. The drama remains enclosed within the four walls of a woman's bedroom. There is no operating room, no physician, no obvious procedure. Only a woman, some pills, and a narrative that seeks to present everything as harmless, private, and inconsequential.

Second, it bypasses the traditional obstacles that governments could impose. If progressive politicians govern, this new abortion paradigm advances with budgetary and regulatory support. If conservative politicians win, the network survives by operating through digital, commercial, or informal channels. The battle



Getty Images/Nadzeya Haroshka

is no longer fought solely in Congress or the courts, but in other arenas that are more difficult to control.

Third, it opens the door to greater levels of corruption and conflicts of interest. Organizations can publicly present themselves as NGOs dedicated to health, rights, or international cooperation and receive grants from international organizations while simultaneously operating as for-profit companies eager to sell a product. In any other field, such duality would be viewed with suspicion: activism and lobbying and business and direct sales combined within the same structure.

Fourth, it promotes a high-risk commercial activity in an opaque manner. Abortion is sold as a quick, safe, and empowering solution, but its consequences are deliberately hidden. The death of the unborn child is concealed, and any harm suffered by the woman is minimized. The abortion pill's promotional campaign functions like product advertising, but without the transparency that should be required when human lives are at stake. Operating outside

the system allows all of these dangerous practices.

Fifth, it cartelizes the abortion business under the appearance of a social cause. Organizations that present themselves as defenders of rights simultaneously serve as promoters, distributors, trainers, intermediaries, and beneficiaries of an international market for abortion pills. This structure allows them to evade quality controls, health regulations, commercial oversight, as well as the legal responsibilities that are commonly required in other business sectors.

The new paradigm promotes abortion as autonomy, but in practice women are abandoned to face the risk, the pain, and the consequences alone.

DKT International: The Abortion Network that Best Embodies this New Paradigm

In 2025, Population Research Institute (PRI) uncovered how the global abortion machinery truly func-

(continued on page 6)

Climate Change, *continued*

crises” we are talking about, here are some of their arguments:

- “Bad weather” might make a woman forget to take her birth control pills.
- A tsunami or tornado could destroy an abortion clinic or cause food scarcity, which in turn might cause a woman to “not prioritize” her contraception.
- “Sheltering in place” during a natural disaster increases the risk of sexual assault, creating a need for more abortions.

(We’ll pause here for a second while you stop laughing.)

Now that you see how this works, we can easily come up with an endless number of “climate-driven reproductive health crises” entirely on our own:

- The sun setting is a reproductive health crisis because it makes people tired, and tired people might forget to take their birth control pills
- Job loss is a reproductive health crisis because you might not have money for an abortion.
- Winter is a reproductive health crisis because it might snow, and the snow might prevent you from driving to the pharmacy to pick up your contraceptives.
- Spring is a reproductive health crisis, because people get twitterpated.

Climate change is already a “hot button” issue that makes many people—especially young people—feel anxious about their future. By tying that anxiety to so-called reproductive rights, however bizarrely, pro-choice activists are trying to chan-



Magnific

nel that emotion into a demand for more abortion access.

All in all, this is intersectionality on steroids—conflating two things that have absolutely nothing to do with one another for political gain.

Not to mention that the two things being conflated are now increasingly understood to be hoaxes.

The UN’s IPCC has belatedly confessed that the apocalyptic climate porn they’ve been peddling to frighten children into sterility, and governments into spending billions to drive down the birth rate, is “impossible.”

As in, it never was “possible.”

The planet may warm a bit, or cool a bit, as it has been doing since the beginning of time. And life will go on.

From the garbage-in-garbage-out computer models to the decades of failed predictions of disaster, all of this climate porn was defended not by rational argument but by claiming that criticism was off-limits. Climate change was “settled science,” you see.

Nothing screams dogma like a refusal to entertain rational argument. Or the threat to censor those who tried to engage in it.

The second hoax concerned overpopulation. For decades the population controllers have been discouraging people from having children, portraying the act of raising a family as an irresponsible, earth-destroying catastrophe.

Reproductive rights were almost exclusively defined to mean the “right” not to have children. Those who went ahead and reproduced anyway were “breeders” who in their recklessness were threatening to overrun the planet.

We’ve probably spent a trillion dollars promoting two phony scenarios. The first that the world was overpopulated. The second that the world was coming to an end because of climate change.

Both are false. And it is too late to knit the two together in a failed effort to revive either.

The Progressives want to use the weather to manipulate us by falsely claiming that our “right” to an abortion is being threatened by a nonexistent crisis.

The truth is Life is worth nurturing, the future is worth building, and a rainstorm is just a rainstorm—not a reason to expand the culture of death.

PRI'S SPECIAL GIFT TO YOU . . .

Crusade of Eucharistic Reparation Manual

The Heart of Jesus in the Most Blessed Sacrament is wounded – wounded by indifference, neglect, and sacrilege. In our time of severe distraction and loss of reverence, He is once again crying out for souls willing to make reparation – souls willing to rest with Him and love Him, while life outside speeds past the lonely tabernacles in our churches.

Bishop Athanasius Schneider, one of the Church's most courageous defenders of the Faith, issues a heartfelt summons to every Catholic in the world: Join the holy Crusade of Eucharistic Reparation.

Drawing from Sacred Scripture, the wisdom of the saints, and the burning zeal of the great Eucharistic apostle St. Peter Julian Eymard, Bishop Schneider shows that every believer is called to console the Eucharistic Heart of Jesus and to restore honor to our Eucharistic King.

In these urgent and hope-filled pages, you will discover:


- The sorrow of the Eucharistic Heart of Jesus over the neglect of, irreverence toward, and disbelief in His Real Presence
- Why reparation is not optional but rather a sacred duty of love for every Catholic

- How to console the Heart of Jesus in everyday life through prayer, sacrifice, and adoration
- The proper dispositions for receiving Holy Communion with purity and devotion
- Why any true renewal in the Church must begin before the tabernacle

Bishop Schneider also proposes a Worldwide Day of Eucharistic Reparation – a united act of love and atonement to heal the wounds caused by abuses and indifference toward the Most Holy Eucharist.

This powerful spiritual manual includes traditional prayers, meditations, and devotions for reparation to the Eucharistic Heart of Jesus.

The time for silence is over. Christ in the Eucharist is being disregarded and dishonored. Now is the time to turn to Him, love Him, console Him, and make reparation. Join the crusade that will heal our souls, calm our world, and bring glory to the Eucharistic King.



**USE THE ENCLOSED GIFT
REPLY OR VISIT US ONLINE TO
REQUEST YOUR COPY OF...**

***Crusade of Eucharistic
Reparation Manual***

**GIFTS TO PRI ARE TAX
DEDUCTIBLE. GIVE TODAY!**

**SCAN TO
GIVE ONLINE!**



Abortion in the 21st Century, *continued*

tions in the 21st century: Abortion Networks. In researching Abortion Networks, PRI has produced reports on the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO), the Latin American Consortium Against Unsafe Abortion (CLACAI), and Family Planning 2030.

In May 2026, PRI released its fourth report: “DKT International: The NGO that Profits Like a Commercial Network to Expand Abortion.” The report analyzes DKT International as one of the most representative cases of the new model of abortion expansion: less dependent on clinics, physicians, and legislative changes; more dependent on pills, digital platforms, marketing, commercial channels, and international distribution networks.

DKT defines itself as “the world’s largest provider of reproductive health and abortion services.” The organization markets abortion pills such as misoprostol and mifepristone and holds global rights for the sale of Manual Vacuum Aspiration (MVA) devices. Using sales reports

and social marketing materials published by the organization itself, the PRI report reconstructs the global figures of this harmful business between 2016 and 2024.

During that period, DKT reported cumulative direct sales of 189,530,647 boxes of misoprostol, 284,512 boxes of mifepristone, and 40,148,610 boxes of the mifepristone + misoprostol combination. For MVA devices, cumulative direct sales reached 579,287 kits, 1,942,489 canulas, and 105,876 aspirators. In addition, the organization’s reports recorded 73,595 abortions performed within DKT-affiliated care networks between 2016 and 2024.

Founded in 1989 and headquartered in Washington, D.C., DKT International has evolved into a worldwide organization. It currently has a presence in more than 100 countries, more than 25 offices, and more than 4,000 employees. Its model combines centralized leadership, decentralized implementation, local adaptation, commercial distribution, and a powerful reproductive health narrative.

The DKT case embodies the heart of the new abortion paradigm in the 21st century: the woman purchases the pills, manages the abortion alone, bears the consequences, and, if something goes wrong, the public system absorbs the costs. While its networks present the organization as a defender of women’s rights, in practice it operates as a global machinery for the expansion, normalization, and commercialization of abortion.

Faced with this new paradigm, it is no longer enough to repeat the same arguments as before. The abortion agenda in the 21st century requires a new, informed, and strategic response.

To combat it, one must first understand it. And to understand it, one must look directly at its new machinery: pills, marketing, digital platforms, commercial networks, and an international business that has learned how to operate even where the law still says no.



Getty Images/Shidlovski

The Left Can't Stop Talking about Abortion

Cosmopolitan Magazine publishes guide to Do-It-Yourself abortion

BY STEVEN W. MOSHER AND CHIARA MCKENNA

The war on unborn children continues post-Roe, only it has shifted to a different battlefield: chemical abortion.

And on this front, the pro-aborts are making ever more horrifying suggestions on how pregnant women can abort their babies in their own homes.

Their latest gambit is Misoprostol-only abortions.

Now this isn't a totally new idea, but the recent push behind the process is. It is driven by the possibility that Misoprostol's "partner drug," Mifepristone, could be restricted to in-person distribution by a medical professional.

Understand that a Misoprostol-only abortion represents a new level of horror. Here's why:

In the current Mifepristone-Misoprostol abortion protocol, Mifepristone is administered first. Mifepristone blocks progesterone from reaching the baby, essentially starving the baby to death.

The second step is to take Misoprostol, which brings on the contractions that force the now-dead child out of the uterus.



Getty Images/FabrikaCr

If you take Mifepristone out of the equation, what happens?

Mothers will be inducing labor with Misoprostol *and delivering their babies alive*. Their babies, born before viability, will then die outside of the womb.

The abortion industry isn't just advocating for this atrocity in theory. It is posting detailed guides encouraging women to self-administer the pill—all the while dancing circles around the actual result.

Take Planned Parenthood, for example. The Planned Parenthood website promotes Misoprostol-only abortions, euphemistically describing the result as "strong cramping and bleeding in your uterus that

makes the pregnancy come out through your vagina."¹

This is like saying that getting in a car wreck can make your soul come out of your body.

Of course, what actually comes out of the vagina after a Misoprostol-only abortion is not a "pregnancy," but a tiny baby.

The closest Planned Parenthood gets to admitting that a baby will be born is the following: "... the pregnancy itself is very small — at 8 weeks, an embryo is about ¼ to ½ inch long. You may not see it when it comes out, especially if you're less than 8 weeks pregnant."

Telling women that their "pregnancy" will leave their body is a circumlocution that hardly prepares

¹ <https://www.plannedparenthood.org/learn/abortion/the-abortion-pill/how-do-i-have-an-abortion-using-only-misoprostol>

them for the emotional shock of seeing their born alive and dying. Just read some of the painful stories that women have shared about their at-home abortions.²

It isn't surprising that the abortion industry would advertise Miso-prostol-only abortions. After all, death is what they live for.


But what about *Cosmopolitan* Magazine, which just published "Your Complete Guide to Miso-prostol-Only Abortions" in its "life-style" section? To be a "Cosmo Girl" now, apparently, your lifestyle has to embrace do-it-yourself abortions.³ How very empowering to be able to bleed out your babies in the privacy of your own bathroom.

Cosmo's "guide" is in some ways even more extreme than Planned Parenthood's.

First of all, the article tries to justify the practice by claiming that, "in lots of other places—like Argentina and Nigeria—people have long used only misoprostol to end their pregnancies."

Of course, whether or not people in other countries use Misoprostol doesn't alter the truth: The drug induces an unnatural labor that forces a live baby out of the womb.

Not to mention that in many countries it is Western-funded NGOs like DKT International, IPPE, and others who are—in violation of local laws—pushing Misoprostol-only abortions on the population.



Cosmo's medical advice is a study in contradiction. After first saying "Trust yourself. You know your body and what's normal for you," it quickly backtracks to "If you're even a little concerned, check in with a medical professional."

How is a woman supposed to know what is normal when, for the first time in her life, she takes a powerful abortion drug? How is she supposed to "trust herself" when her uterus starts painfully contracting and the blood starts to flow?

Like Planned Parenthood, Cosmo pretends that the baby these mothers are carrying doesn't really exist, although it warns that "If the abortion happens around 11 or 12 weeks, aka toward the end of the first trimester, there's a chance you may see a small embryo."

A "small embryo" that will forever loom large in the shattered imagination of the young women who see their tiny child.

By 12 weeks, as any pregnancy app or website will tell you, a baby is over 2 inches long and has a fully formed body. According to the popular website What To Expect, by 12 weeks all of a baby's key body structures and systems are fully developed.⁴

The pro-life movement must fight this new push for Misoprostol-only abortions. It will not be easy. Misoprostol has a legitimate medical use in miscarriages, when the baby has died of natural causes but has not yet left the uterus.

But like any other dangerous drug, it can and should be regulated, limited to in-person distribution by a medical professional.

² https://www.reddit.com/r/abortion/comments/zfhpa0/saw_my_fetus_come_out/

³ <https://www.cosmopolitan.com/lifestyle/a60308934/misoprostol-only-abortion-pills-guide-faq/>

⁴ <https://www.whattoexpect.com/pregnancy/week-by-week/week-12.aspx>

Is Immigration a Solution to Falling Fertility Rates?

BY CHIARA MCKENNA

As the Supreme Court deliberates on whether “birthright citizenship” is constitutional (it’s not), left-wing media outlets are suddenly very concerned about falling American birth rates.

They are claiming that if we don’t allow illegal aliens to enter the U.S., there will simply be *too few people* in the U.S. in the years to come.

They are hoping that you ignore the fact that, just yesterday, they were worried about too many people in the U.S. You know, overpopulation, resource depletion, and all that.

Now it’s the more, the merrier.

Articles praising immigration—legal or illegal, it doesn’t matter—are gushing forth from the liberal press. We need the babies they will bring, these articles claim, because we are not making enough ourselves. It is the only way to stave off population decline, they tell us, not only here in the U.S. but in other first-world countries as well.

In January, *Reuters* blasted President Trump’s crackdown on illegal immigration for slowing population growth in the U.S. The implication, though they don’t put it quite so bluntly, is that unfettered immigration is the solution to boosting the birth rate and increasing the U.S. population.¹ *Newsweek* then chimed in to cheerily point out that “Im-



Getty Images/Sundry Photography

migration Accounts for Entire US Population Growth for First Time.”² We need open borders to keep the country growing, was the subtext of the piece.

The same narrative—that immigration is the answer to the looming demographic winter—is being pushed in Europe as well and even more strongly.

Just look at Italy.

In March, *Reuters* ran a celebratory piece entitled “Italy’s population stops shrinking after 12 years, thanks to migration.”³ The number of babies born to native Italians was continuing to fall, but not to worry, the article reads, help is on the way.

The authors of another op-ed attacked immigration laws directly, alleging that both in Italy and the United States a major cause of low fertility is that our laws keep too many fertile foreigners out.⁴ They went on to claim that “the expansion

of citizenship rights to immigrants and their children provides a ready-made solution” to falling birth rates.

Leave aside, for the moment, the question of what level of legal immigration America should have. The fact remains that, however many legal immigrants we allow in—individuals willing to integrate, assimilate, and work hard for a better life—legal immigration will not, because it cannot, magically solve the problem of depopulation.

Here’s why immigration won’t solve the critically low fertility rate.

First, fertility is declining globally, not just in the First World. Only a few countries in Africa and the Middle East still have fertility rates above replacement, and even these are trending downwards.⁵ The pool of fertile immigrants is shrinking worldwide.

And what about the children and grandchildren that those immigrants

¹ <https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-population-growth-slows-immigration-declines-census-data-shows-2026-01-27/>

² <https://www.newsweek.com/us-immigrant-population-growth-birth-rate-2043627>

³ <https://www.reuters.com/world/italys-population-stops-shrinking-after-12-years-thanks-migration-2026-03-31/>

⁴ <https://americancommunitymedia.org/news-exchange/us-aims-to-abolish-birthright-citizenship-italy-already-knows-the-consequences/>

⁵ <https://www.pop.org/help-stem-the-tide/>

are supposed to have to save our demographic future?

The reality is that the higher fertility rates of some immigrant groups disappear in a generation or two as immigrant fertility converges to local levels.⁶ This means that first-generation immigrants may have slightly higher fertility than native-born Americans, but by the second generation, their fertility has fallen to the national norm. Immigration can't stop population aging long-term.

The bottom line is that immigration is a band-aid solution at best. It may mask the hemorrhaging birth

rate for a time, but it won't stop the bleeding.

One demographic study said it clearly:

"A rational consequence of this trend is that *immigration cannot constitute a long-term solution for the declining TFR* observed in affluent societies. The problem can only be addressed once we have a deeper understanding of the mechanisms suppressing fertility rates and develop strategies that address the root cause of this phenomenon, not just its consequences."⁷ [emphasis added]

Countries that rely heavily on immigration may buy themselves

a few extra decades, but they will still ultimately decline if the root causes aren't addressed. And, in the meantime, they will import all the problems associated with unfettered immigration.

We must rebuild a culture that supports marriage and family, beginning at the local level by creating communities that are open to and supportive of life. Just as importantly, we must push back against the messaging—from government institutions to media portrayals—that diminishes the importance of spouses, children, and family life.

⁶ <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7363754/>

⁷ <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8977063/>



You Keep PRI's Projects Running at Full Steam!

As we reach the halfway point of the year, we are grateful for all that has been accomplished through your partnership with PRI.

This Spring, our Ibero-America team worked tirelessly to expose abortion networks throughout the region and internationally. We also submitted an Executive Order to the White House encouraging President Trump to reject the myth of overpopulation and recognize the importance of family growth. Through PRI's ultrasound program, we delivered two new machines to Kenya and Nigeria—helping provide vital care to mothers and their unborn babies

None of this could happen without you. If you have ever wondered how to deepen your involvement with PRI, here's how you can make an even greater impact:

- **Circle for Life** – PRI's monthly giving program provides steady, reliable support for our mission year-round. Monthly giving helps us plan boldly and respond quickly to emerging opportunities.
- **MyCatholicWill** – Through our partnership with MyCatholicWill, supporters can create a Catholic will entirely free of charge. By including PRI in your estate plans, you help

ensure that your commitment to protecting life and strengthening families continues for generations to come.

- **Double Your Donation** – Many employers offer charitable gift matching, meaning your contribution to PRI could be doubled at no additional cost to you. A quick employer search may significantly increase your impact. For more information on how to get involved, email us at pri@pop.org



EMAIL US TO
GET INVOLVED

From the Countries



AUSTRALIA

Born-Alive Protection Bill Introduced

A new bill introduced in the House of Lords would require that babies born alive after an attempted abortion receive appropriate medical treatment and legal protection. Baroness O’Loan’s Infant (Born Alive) Protection Bill received its First Reading on June 11, 2026. The proposal comes amid growing concerns about late-term abortions and follows reports that babies have survived abortion procedures in other countries. In Victoria, Australia, 33 of 310 abortions performed after 20 weeks resulted in live births. While in Queensland, 204 babies were born alive following abortions between 2005 and 2015.¹



URUGUAY

Uruguay Records First Euthanasia Death

Uruguay recorded its first death by euthanasia on May 22, one month after its “Dignified Death” law took effect. The 69-year-old woman, who had terminal cancer, became the first person to die under legislation passed in October 2025. Uruguay is the first Latin American country to legalize euthanasia. Church leaders opposed the law, urging society to care for and accompany the suffering rather than intentionally ending their lives.²



IRELAND

Ireland Targets Abortion Safeguard

Ireland’s Sinn Féin party has introduced legislation to eliminate the mandatory three-day waiting period before an abortion can be performed in early pregnancy. The party argues the requirement creates unnecessary obstacles, while pro-life advocates view the waiting period as an important safeguard that gives women a chance to reflect on the gravity of her decision. The proposal follows the defeat of a broader abortion bill last month, which was rejected in the Irish parliament by a vote of 85 to 30.



AUSTRALIA

Australia Debates Sex-Selective Abortions

Thousands of pro-life advocates rallied outside the New South Wales Parliament in support of legislation that would ban sex-selective abortions, arguing that no child should lose his or her life simply because of being a boy or a girl. The bill, introduced by independent MLC John Ruddick, would hold doctors accountable for performing abortions based solely on a baby’s sex, helping to protect unborn girls and reinforce the principle of gender equality. The debate follows a 2025 study suggesting some migrant communities in Australia show unusually high male-to-female birth ratios, due to cultures that value sons over daughters.³



SCOTLAND

Scotland Abortions Reach Record High

Scotland recorded 18,783 abortions in 2025, the highest number on record and a 54.78% increase from 12,135 abortions in 2016. Repeat abortions accounted for 42.2% of all abortions, while disability-selective abortions rose 61% since 2018. The increase comes as Scotland continues allowing at-home abortion services and abortion activists push to remove the 24-week abortion limit entirely, potentially permitting abortion on demand up to birth.⁴



BANGLADESH

Bangladesh Bans Disclosure of Fetal Sex

Bangladesh’s High Court has ruled that revealing the sex of an unborn child constitutes professional misconduct, aiming to combat the targeted abortion of baby girls. The court cited India’s fetal sex disclosure ban as precedent and ordered the country’s health authorities to create a central digital database within six months to monitor prenatal diagnostic reports. Experts say son preference remains deeply rooted across South Asia, contributing to sex-selective abortions and skewed population sex ratios in both Bangladesh and India.⁵

¹ <https://righttolife.org.uk/news/bill-on-babies-born-alive-after-an-abortion-receives-first-reading-in-house-of-lords>

² <https://righttolife.org.uk/news/wrap-up-scotland-rejects-assisted-suicide-after-historic-debate>

³ <https://ifamnews.com/en/uruguay-records-its-first-euthanasia-death>

⁴ <https://righttolife.org.uk/news/scotland-abortion-numbers-highest-ever-on-record-2>

⁵ <https://ifamnews.com/en/bangladesh-high-court-bans-disclosure-of-fetal-sex-to-combat-femicide>

PRI IN THE MEDIA



EWTN News

Breaking Down the Trump-Xi Summit

PRI President Steven Mosher appeared on *EWTN News* to discuss the Trump-Xi summit, U.S.-China tensions, and the persecution of Christians in China. Mr. Mosher argued that President Trump entered the talks from a position of strength, noting China's continued dependence on the U.S. for advances in AI and robotics. He also highlighted the CCP's ongoing crackdown on religious freedom, including the imprisonment of pro-democracy publisher Jimmy Lai and the arrest of priests unwilling to submit to state-controlled churches.

Viewership: 1.36 Million*

<https://www.ewtnnews.com/watch/clips/3443>



The First TV

Mao's Legacy Still Haunts China

Mr. Mosher appeared on *The First* with Jesse Kelly to discuss the rise of communism in China and the lasting legacy of Chairman Mao. Drawing on his experience as the first American social scientist to conduct field research in Communist China, Mr. Mosher described the devastation of the Cultural Revolution, when Mao manipulated young people through the "Little Red Book" and turned

them against their own families. He also warned that China's worsening economic crisis could lead to another famine and further instability.

Viewership: 8.6 Thousand*

<https://www.thefirsttv.com/watch/china-has-a-massive-problem-they-cant-hide/>



Real Clear Politics

China's Global Plan Starts to Crumble

Mr. Mosher appeared on *Real Clear Politics* to discuss escalating tensions surrounding the Strait of Hormuz, Taiwan, and the so-called "Thucydides Trap" in U.S.-China relations. Mr. Mosher argued that China's economy is faltering while the United States remains resilient, dismissing the idea of an inevitable conflict with China. He also highlighted Japan's growing role in Taiwan's defense and said Taiwan's continued independence demonstrates it is better off outside communist China.

Viewership: 6.3 Million*

https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2026/05/25/get_real_with_rcps_david_desrosiers_.html



Egyptian Gazette

The Population Control Agenda

Mr. Mosher was cited in a piece for *The Egyptian Gazette* examining the

concept of "demographic colonialism" and the use of population-control policies in developing nations. Drawing on Mr. Mosher's book, *Population Control: Real Costs, Imaginary Benefits*, the article argues that population-control programs have often been presented as humanitarian initiatives while serving broader geopolitical objectives.

Viewership: 34.4 Thousand*

<https://egyptian-gazette.com/op-ed/when-population-becomes-battlefield/>



Relevant Radio

What's Driving Fertility Decline?

Mr. Mosher appeared on *Relevant Radio* to discuss the causes of global fertility decline. Mr. Mosher argued that falling birth rates are being driven by a combination of factors, including growing urbanization, widespread smartphone use, rising levels of education, and the weakening influence of religion and traditional family values. He noted that children are increasingly viewed as an economic burden rather than a benefit, contributing to a demographic crisis that is now affecting countries around the world.

Viewership: 254.7 Thousand*

<https://nypost.com/2026/03/02/opinion/trump-hit-iran-but-hes-really-got-china-in-his-crosshairs/>

*total monthly website visitors on each organization's website