



If You Want More Babies, Make Birth Free

The financial reality of
having a baby in the U.S.

BY CHIARA MCKENNA

As birth rates in America continue to fall, the Trump administration is mulling over offering baby bonuses.

But I have a better idea: Let's simply make births free. That way, young couples can walk out of the hospital with their cuddly newborn without worrying that a huge bill from the hospital will be waiting in their mailbox when they get home.

This is personal for my husband and I, since we're expecting our first child. In fact, our baby boy is due any day.

Early on in my pregnancy, I calculated the estimated cost for my prenatal care and delivery at a local birth center. After insurance, the total came to a little over \$2,500. Not



bad, I thought, knowing that it could easily have been several times that amount in a hospital setting.

The average American, who hasn't had a baby recently, doesn't realize how much birth costs in today's America, even with good, private insurance, which my husband and I have.

So while Washington debates whether pro-natal policies are necessary, let's answer the question that

weighs on many couples' minds: How much does it actually cost to have a baby in the United States?

Before we dive into the numbers, it's worth noting that my husband and I aren't ones to put a price on bringing a new baby into this world. In fact, we're hoping to be blessed with a large family, with the faith that

(continued on page 4)

The Population Research
Institute Review is
published bimonthly by:

**Population Research
Institute**

109 East Main St.
Front Royal VA 22630
(540)-662-5240
pri@pop.org
www.pop.org

Fr. Paul Marx, OSB, PhD
(1920-2010)
Founder

Steven W. Mosher
President

Thomas Mosher
Director of Administration

Carlos Polo
*Director, PRI Latin
American Office*

Carlos Beltramo
*Director, PRI European
Office*

Chiara McKenna
New Media Specialist

Design by
Perceptions Studio
Amherst, New Hampshire

Global Abortion Promoters in Panic

BY CARLOS POLO, DIRECTOR OF PRI IBERO-AMERICA

In April, Population Research Institute (PRI) revealed the truth about the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO). Now you might think that an organization with such a name would be focused on improving maternal health or reducing neonatal mortality rates.

In fact, however, lurking under this innocent-sounding name is an organization that effectively operates as an arm of the population control movement with a strong emphasis on promoting global abortion.

Our report, entitled *“FIGO: US-AID Funding and the Promotion of Abortion in Ibero-America, Europe, and Asia,”* contains abundant evidence from FIGO’s own website and other public sources that clearly expose its not-so-hidden political agenda.

And what is that agenda? Nothing less than decriminalizing abortion in every country around the globe and ensuring unrestricted access to this deadly procedure.


This isn’t just our interpretation. The report cites dozens of FIGO’s

publications and statements clearly laying out this goal. FIGO stands condemned by its own words and actions, which clearly prove that it is a political advocacy platform serving the international abortion industry.

FIGO implements advocacy strategies, trains medical personnel, and promotes the distribution of misoprostol and mifepristone — drugs used in abortions. One of the most serious revelations is that the organization not only pushes for legal abortion but also protects those who perform illegal abortions. It has issued guidelines advising doctors not to report out-of-framework abortions, promoted unsupervised self-managed abortions, and pressured to eliminate conscience objections from professionals.

FIGO’s response to our report confirmed our conclusions, for the organization did not respond to our report with counter arguments or refutations. Rather, in a backhanded admission of how damning our evidence was, it took its website offline. Once posted online, any content can lat-

Global Abortion Promoters in Panic, *continued*



er be recovered using The Wayback Machine or similar services even if it is no longer directly accessible. Even worse for FIGO, removing content in this way almost constitutes an admission of guilt.

The leadership of FIGO seems to have belatedly realized this. Several days after their website vanished into the ether, it suddenly came back online. Or at least a highly sanitized version came back online, scrubbed of all its particularly radical content.

But as we continued to highlight our FIGO report, public interest in the organization's activities grew. And FIGO decided to change their damage control strategy from deletion to concealment. They unloaded the full content again, but made it much harder for people visiting the site to access. They modified website parameters to block direct access via original links and disabled the internal search function for most of the content.

The evidence of their abortion advocacy remains available — if you

can find it. The links given in the PRI FIGO report still work if pasted directly into Google, but other references require specific titles cited in the report.

FIGO's evasive maneuvers not only confirm our report's findings but also expose a strategy of concealment in the face of irrefutable truths.

As many of you know, abortion activists have regularly tried to hide their ideologically driven agendas from the wider public. They understand that most people find the idea that they are unwittingly funding abortion and population control projects to be abhorrent.

Following the shutdown of USAID in March of this year, emails from its acting executive secretary, Erica Carr, show that agency employees were instructed to destroy classified documents and sensitive files at its Washington, D.C. headquarters. USAID, like FIGO, wanted to hide what it was doing from the American public.

Today, FIGO — one of the world's leading abortion promoters — is in panic. Our report unsettled them,

exposed them, and forced them to backpedal. Pro-abortion activists, long used to operating with impunity and the backing of significant resources and international allies, now face a truth they cannot deny. They're hiding — and that's no accident. It's the result of rigorous research and courageous work.

This is a critical moment. We can't let it slip by. It's time to double down on efforts to circulate our FIGO report in all the countries where it operates—through the media, networks, institutions, and advocacy spaces. The more its activities are known, the harder it will be for them to propagate their lies as science.

The truth saves lives.

You can read the full Population Research Institute report here: <https://www.pop.org/figo-report-usaid-funding-and-promotion-of-abortion-in-ibero-america-africa-and-europe/>



If You Want More Babies..., *continued*

God will make this possible for us if it's His will.

But that doesn't mean we're oblivious to the financial aspect of childbirth. We're also aware that the cost can be a make-or-break factor for many.

A recent survey found that over half of Millennials and Zoomers (Gen. Z) have decided to delay having children. Of this number, 86% cite finances as the primary reason.¹

Another survey, this one from Pew Research, asked childless adults over 50 and childless adults aged 18-49 why they don't have children. For adults over 50, about 1-in-10 (12%) cited finances as the main reason they did not have any children. From those aged 18-49, this figure was three times higher at 36%. Most of this age group would still be able to have children if they wanted to, but they don't. And the main reason they don't, they say, is because they "couldn't afford to raise a child."²

Knowing these facts, are we surprised that the fertility rate in the U.S. is still falling? As of 2024, our nation's fertility rate sits at a meager 1.63, well below the replacement rate fertility of 2.1 children per woman.

Having a baby in the U.S. is expensive right off the bat.

Without insurance, the cost of birth at a hospital can range from \$14,000 to \$30,000, assuming no complications. A natural (vaginal) birth averages \$14,768, while a cesarean section runs to \$26,280 or so.³

Private insurance reduces the average out-of-pocket cost for a nat-

ural birth to \$2,655 and a cesarean section to \$3,214.

However, keep in mind that these are averages, and many couples will wind up paying more. According to a study by the American Academy of Pediatrics, about 17% of couples with private insurance will still face a bill of over \$5,000 for a birth.⁴ And heaven help couples whose babies require extra care in the hospital's neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). They will be taking a five-figure hit to the family economy.

Medicaid covers 42% of all births, but the relief it offers from the high costs of childbirth mostly goes to low-income, single moms. The Institute for Family Studies points out that "the benefits cliff and marriage penalty associated with Medicaid means that a mother with a lower income gets free care, while married women... whose moderate income may put them just above the eligibility threshold—might pay thousands of dollars to give birth."⁵

At the end of the day, a majority of mothers don't receive Medicaid assistance for childbirth and most, if not all, married mothers are left out. Of course, married moms are exactly the ones you want having more children.

Could making all births free in the U.S. for all mothers positively impact our birth and fertility rate?

I'm not the only one who thinks so.

Pro-life groups have been pitching this idea for a while now. As one example, Americans United for Life



wrote up a full Make Birth Free report in 2023, outlining the costs and benefits, as well as a "roadmap" for rolling this policy out at the Congressional level.⁶

That same year, then-Senator J. D. Vance voiced support for the concept in a post on X that stated, "We sent over \$100b to Ukraine in the last year. For half that, we could make birth free in this country, end the surprise billings that devastate families with newborns, and possibly save the lives of a lot of new moms."⁷

Childbirth incurs the biggest, upfront cost for having a child. Eliminating this cost for U.S. couples who see it as a major obstacle to having children would make more of an impact than an unconditional baby bonus, which may not even cover the hospital bill.

Making birth free won't magically raise the U.S. birthrate back to replacement, but it would be a good place to start.

¹ <https://www.badcredit.org/studies/plans-to-have-children-in-america/>

² <https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2024/07/25/reasons-adults-give-for-not-having-children/>

³ <https://www.uwhealth.org/news/how-much-does-it-really-cost-have-baby>

⁴ <https://aui.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Make-Birth-Free-White-Paper.pdf>

⁵ <https://ifstudies.org/blog/its-time-to-ease-the-financial-and-administrative-costs-of-childbirth>

⁶ <https://aui.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Make-Birth-Free-White-Paper.pdf>

⁷ <https://x.com/JDVance/status/1615819312908087297>

PRI'S SPECIAL GIFT TO YOU . . .

Martyrs of the New Millenium

Free with your tax-deductible gift of \$50 or more!

This book is the thundering voice of the martyrs of our time. Though mostly overlooked, the harrowing stories of hundreds of thousands of fellow Christians from around the world who gave their lives for the Faith in recent years — including in full-scale genocides of Christians in several countries — are a part of our history crying out to be told.

Here is that history.

Robert Royal chronicles the heartrending stories of heroic priests, religious, and laypeople who are being brutally persecuted, tortured, and martyred today by various groups, including Islamists, criminal gangs, political extremists, and satanic cults. He reveals how thousands more are right now living courageously under oppressive regimes facing similar threats and dangers daily.


These are our brothers and sisters in the Faith, the saints in our midst! You will learn about them, the role spiritual combat plays in their persecution, and how you can help alleviate their suffering. While doing so you will also discover:

- How the early Christian martyrs provided one of the first “books of spirituality”
- The true definition of a Christian martyr and five criteria to be considered a martyr

- Teachings of recent popes on the new martyrdom, including oblatio vitae
- How “blasphemy laws” are misconstrued to accuse and condemn Christians unjustly
- The most dangerous place to be a Catholic priest (You will be shocked!)
- The country where nine out of ten Christians martyrs worldwide are killed
- The tremendous sufferings of “white martyrs” and red martyrs

In these gripping pages, you will also see how even Christians who aren’t martyrs by definition continue to be powerful witnesses amid the most dire circumstances, proclaiming the true Faith and radiating God’s light in the face of the most diabolical cruelty.

This watershed work gives Tertullian’s famous words “the blood of martyrs is the seed of the Church” an entirely new meaning. Let us send you a copy as soon as possible, for your gift of \$50 or more! Just fill out a reply sheet, or go online at <https://www.pop.org/donate/prir>.



**USE THE ENCLOSED GIFT
REPLY OR VISIT US ONLINE TO
REQUEST YOUR COPY OF...**

Martyrs of the New Millenium

**SCAN TO
GIVE ONLINE!**





New Winds at the Vatican

By Carlos Beltramo, PhD.

The election of a new Pope is an event that affects all of humanity. Despite claims that Catholicism is in decline and that religion is a thing of the past, over 7,500 journalists provided around the clock coverage of the news coming out of the Conclave. The world truly was watching.

And what a bombshell. Not only did they elect an American, Robert Francis Prevost, but what an American: a religious of the Order of Saint Augustine, a linguist who is fluent in at least five languages, a missionary who had spent many years in Latin America, and a globetrotter who, as superior of the Augustinian order, has traveled to at least 50 countries.

Other traits that will shape the character of his papacy is that he has a doctorate in Canon Law and, as demonstrated by his homilies, a pro-

found spiritual depth and an intellect at the service of Christ's message. Everything he says centers on Jesus. Clearly, Pope Leo sees everything else—opinions, ideologies, etc.—as secondary to Him.

As expected, the new Pope is also pro-life. A former classmate, Fr. Wilde, recently shared that Prevost was one of the founders of Villanovans for Life, a university pro-life group at Villanova University that still exists fifty years later.

As Bishop of Chiclayo, he actively supported Peru's March for Life in 2015 and 2017. His pro-life advocacy and promotion of pro-life events resulted in significant political impact. His X (formerly Twitter) account contains some telling reposts: one supporting Mike Pence at the D.C. March for Life, another quoting

Cardinal Dolan at the same event (Jan 29, 2017), and another suggesting Hillary Clinton's pro-abortion



Wikimedia



Wikimedia

stance cost her the presidency (Nov 12, 2016). In 2023, at the University Catholic Santo Toribio de Mogrovejo in Peru, Cardinal Prevost received an honorary doctorate and gave a speech advocating for civil law to protect the right of every child in the womb to be born, and for social consensus to guarantee both that right and the care of those children after birth. In short, he is a consummate pro-lifer: he opposes abortion in all its forms while also promoting the full cycle of care based on the Social Doctrine of the Church, from conception to natural death.

As Pope, during his first Regina Caeli prayer from the central balcony of St. Peter's, Leo XIV greeted participants in Italy's National March for Life, which was happening on that same Sunday in Rome. His tone was calm but clear, proclaiming unashamed support for the pro-life cause. These gestures are being carefully noted around the world. He was also the first pope in history to read his balcony speech, as he chose not to extemporise even at such an

emotional moment. One of his most powerful phrases was:

"God loves us. God loves you all. Evil will not prevail!"

The strong impressions didn't stop there. On May 16, the Pope delivered the traditional address to the Diplomatic Corps accredited to the Vatican, a key event that reveals a pontificate's stance on international affairs. In that speech, he unambiguously stated:

"It is the responsibility of government leaders to work to build harmonious and peaceful civil societies. This can be achieved above all by investing in the family, founded upon the stable union between a man and a woman."

He didn't say this in a private bishops' meeting, but to the world's governments. He firmly declared that marriage is between a man and a woman and emphasized that defending the family is essential to peace. From that moment on, any journalist trying to paint Leo XIV as a progressive began to have serious trouble maintaining that narrative.

In the same address, Pope Leo went further, completing his pro-life message:

"No one is exempted from striving to ensure respect for the dignity of every person, especially the most frail and vulnerable, from the unborn to the elderly, from the sick to the unemployed, citizens and immigrants alike."

For those who try to reduce the Church's mission to merely "social" issues, while ignoring the pro-life cause, the Pope points to the true path. That path was fully clarified on June 1, during the Jubilee of Families. In his homily, the Pope left no room for ambiguity on Catholic teaching about marriage:

"I would remind all married couples that marriage is not an ideal but the measure of true love between a man and a woman: a love that is total, faithful and fruitful."

This short phrase says a lot.

- Total love means unions that can't express the intimate bodily love between a man and a woman are not true marriages.
- Faithful love means that if someone divorces and enters a new relationship, they are no longer in a true marriage.
- Fruitful love is open to life and seeks to fulfill God's command: "Be fruitful and multiply."

This is pure Catholic teaching—no double-speak.

By stating that marriage is not an ideal, but rather the model of true love, Pope Leo dismantles a commonly used phrase that had been manipulated to redefine marriage. The argument had been: "marital love is just an ideal, unattainable, so any committed relationship should be called marriage." By clearly stating it is not an ideal, but a model, and

adding that it is a model between a man and a woman, the Pope is correcting the doctrinal distortions of recent years, not with grand language, but with the precise power of simple words.

These are the early public signs of a Pope tasked with healing divisions within the Church. And so far, he's doing so with simplicity, clear teaching, and a focus on what matters most: seeking Jesus Christ and guiding others to Him, accepting the full truth of the Gospel.

Perhaps Catholics won't be as "popular" now, but we will be more authentic.



Create Your Will for FREE!

POPULATION
RESEARCH
INSTITUTE



MyCatholicWill

As a gift to you from Population Research Institute, we are proud to sponsor free access to MyCatholicWill.com so that you can protect your family with your Legacy of Faith.

To Create Your Will for Free go to **MyCatholicWill.com/POP**



Use Referral Code: **POP**



Trump Pulls the Plug on UNFPA Funding

By Steven W. Mosher

Fulfilling another one of his campaign promises, President Trump in March ordered the defunding of the UN's chief population control organization, the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA).

That process is now complete. Termination notices for more than 40 abortion, sterilization, and contraception promoting projects have been sent, cutting off some \$335 million in funding, and saving countless babies.

But what really has gotten the UNFPA's goat is the Trump administration's announcement on May 9th that the UN group is in violation of the Kemp-Kasten Amendment. This Amendment, which dates from 1985, states that no U.S. funds may be made available to "any organization or program which, as determined by the President of the United States, supports or participates in the management of a program of coercive abortion or involuntary sterilization."

The UNFPA, which indeed is involved in coercive programs of population control around the world, will not be eligible for one single penny of U.S. aid over the remainder of the Trump administration.

As someone who worked with New York Congressman Jack Kemp and Wisconsin Senator Bob Kasten to get the original Kemp-Kasten amendment passed some decades

ago, I am personally overjoyed by this decision.

It was my original research in China that first brought the brutality of the one-child policy to the attention of the world. Women who were pregnant without government permission were subjected to an escalating series of threats and punishments—even being arrested and imprisoned—until they submitted to an abortion and subsequent sterilization.

It was PRI's later on-the-ground research in China that provided the bulk of the evidence that the UNFPA was heavily involved in China's long-running one-child policy. Investigations into the population control programs of other countries, such as Vietnam and Peru, proved that the UNFPA was supporting the same kinds of coercive tactics around the globe.

Predictably, the UNFPA lost no time in bemoaning the recent decision, expressing its:

... deep regret that the United States of America has announced its intention to deny future funding to the organization by triggering the Kemp-Kasten Amendment. This decision is based on unfounded claims about UNFPA's work in China that have long been disproved – including by the US Government itself.

But what the UNFPA calls "unfounded claims" were anything but.

We at PRI produced report after report on the UNFPA's open support of China's forced abortion and sterilization program, testifying before Congress on dozens of occasions, and even writing books on the subject, such as *A Mother's Ordeal* and *Population Control: Real Costs and Illusory Benefits*.

The UNFPA's claim that a "U.S.-government" investigation disproved our evidence is simply false. As it happens, I briefed the three members of this "U.S.-government" delegation before they went to China in 2002 and debriefed them upon their return. While Chinese officials prevented them from having any unfettered access to ordinary people, they still concluded in their report that the UNFPA-supported program was coercive and that the UNFPA itself should be defunded.

On another occasion, we had to deal with George Bush's Secretary of State, Colin Powell. Powell, who was pro-abortion, initially refused to cut UNFPA funding. It was our evidence that convinced him to reverse course.

For the rest of his time in office, he consistently opposed funding the UNFPA, declaring correctly that: "UNFPA's support of, and involvement in, China's population-planning activities allows the Chinese government to implement more effectively its program of coercive

abortion. Therefore, it is not permissible to continue funding UNFPA at this time.”

The UNFPA has in recent years rebranded itself as a Sexual and Reproductive Health organization, but this is a mere façade. In reality, the organization is in favor of as much sex, and as little reproduction, as possible.

Consider that it measures reproductive health by the percentage of women who are using so-called “modern contraceptives” or who have been sterilized. This means that a population of women enjoying perfect “reproductive health” would not be able to reproduce at all. Why? Because they would have all been sterilized or contracepted into sterility.

Trump’s decision to again cut funding to the UNFPA mirrors his actions during his first term, when he signed an executive order defunding the UN population group because it pushes Chinese-style programs on African countries.

In a world of falling fertility, we do not need a UN population control organization forcing birthrates downward. We never did.

PRI’s Ultrasound Machine Project Saves Lives

Dear Population Research Institute,

On behalf of Centre Hospitalier Monkole, we extend our sincerest gratitude for your generous donation of an ultrasound machine to Monkole Hospital. This invaluable piece of equipment is a significant asset that will undoubtedly make a profound difference in the lives of countless individuals in Kinshasa and beyond.

We understand that this ultrasonographer will be instrumental in helping to prevent abortions and save lives, aligning perfectly with the Population Research Institute’s mission to uphold the sanctity of life. Access to such advanced diagnostic tools empowers medical professionals to provide comprehensive care, offering vital insights into pregnancies and enabling timely interventions that protect both mother and child.

Thank you once again for your remarkable generosity and for joining us in the effort to cherish and protect every life.

Sincerely,
Dr. Kashala Remy
Medical Director

From all of us at PRI, thank you for helping us to deliver ultrasound machines to Catholic clinics—and for helping us to save the lives of many, many unborn children.



From the Countries



VIETNAM **Vietnam Ends Two-Child Policy**

Vietnam has officially ended its long-standing two-child policy as the nation faces the consequences of government-enforced population control. Originally introduced in the 1960s in Northern Vietnam to prevent so-called “overpopulation” and later expanded nationwide, the policy was formalized in 1993 to promote a “golden population.” However, recent statistics show a steady decline in fertility rates, particularly in urban areas, with the national average dropping to 1.91 children per woman in 2024. The proportion of citizens under 15 has significantly decreased, while the working-age population has grown, prompting concerns about future demographic imbalances. Facing a potential depopulation crisis, Vietnam has now reversed course and started encouraging family growth.¹



INDIA **Minister of Indian State Offers Pro-Natal Policy**

Chandrababu Naidu, Chief Minister of the Indian state Andhra Pradesh, recently addressed declining fertility rates and plans to offer financial support to families. This is a major shift from their current policy which includes disincentives for having more

than two children per couple. With the updated policy, Naidu stated that “the bigger families may get higher incentives.” Encouraging bigger families through support and financial aid is the Chief Minister’s plan to increase the birth rate in order to prevent a depopulation crisis in Andhra Pradesh.²



SCOTLAND **Scotland Hits a Grim Milestone**

New government data from Scotland revealed a grim milestone: the highest number of abortions ever recorded in the nation’s history. In 2024 alone, 18,710 children were aborted—a tragic 3% increase from the year before. Even more disturbing is the surge in abortions targeting children with Down syndrome. These selective terminations rose by 15% in just one year and have skyrocketed 82% since 2021. The message is chillingly clear: children with disabilities are being screened out of existence. Nearly 41% of all abortions were repeat procedures, and abortions between 18 and 20 weeks are on the rise.³



CHILE **Chile’s Administration Introduces Abortion Bill**

In a disturbing break from Chile’s pro-life heritage, President Gabriel Boric’s socialist administration has introduced a bill to legalize

abortion up to 14 weeks. The proposed law would strip away existing safeguards that currently limit abortion to cases of rape, fetal anomaly, or danger to the mother’s life. Christian coalitions across Chile have urged the government to address the country’s real crises—like collapsing birthrates and public insecurity—instead of pushing abortion as a political agenda. Chile once stood as a beacon of life in Latin America. If this bill passes, it would align the country more closely with radical Western abortion regimes—and turn its back on the unborn. The fight for life in Chile is far from over.⁴



IRELAND **Research Exposes Abortion Pill in Ireland**

New research from Ireland reveals that between 2019 and 2022, 12% of women who took abortion pills were rushed to the ER due to “bleeding or infection.” While an additional 16% had incomplete abortions and were given further doses of misoprostol or surgery to finish aborting the baby. This study corresponds to the findings of a 2025 U.S. analysis showing that almost 11% of women who took the abortion pill suffered “serious adverse events,” some of which were life-threatening.⁵

¹ <https://www.foxnews.com/world/communist-country-dumps-decades-old-2-child-policy-birth-rates-plummet-alarming-levels>

² <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/india/andhra-pradesh-plans-cash-reward-for-having-more-children-says-cm-chandrababu-naidu/articleshow/121726486.cms>

³ <https://righttolife.org.uk/news/scotland-abortion-numbers-highest-on-record>

⁴ <https://www.barrons.com/news/chile-government-proposes-to-legalize-abortion-up-to-14-weeks-bf1d2ed9>

⁵ <https://www.liveaction.org/news/research-ireland-12-er-abortion-pill/>

PRI IN THE NEWS



Fox Business

U.S. Needs to End Dependency on China

Recently on Varney & Co., PRI President Steven Mosher emphasized that while China continues its aggressive global expansion, the U.S. has failed to sever critical dependencies. This is due to China's leverage against the United States—their rare earths. These resources currently give China global dominance over certain elements. As China tightens its grip globally, the stakes have never been higher. Mosher stated that the United States needs to start producing these resources itself and boost domestic production. He urged that implementing these steps, in addition to placing tariffs on Chinese goods, will eliminate China's leverage over the U.S.

Viewership: 28.4 Million*

<https://www.foxbusiness.com/video/6374094216112>



Life Site News

Trump Pulls Funds from CRS

The Trump administration has withdrawn federal funding from left-leaning Catholic Relief Services (CRS) for 11 of its 13 aid programs. CRS claims to aid the poor while upholding “Catholic values.” However, multiple PRI investi-

gations have revealed CRS partnerships with groups promoting contraception and abortion, particularly in African countries. Defunding CRS is a critical step toward ensuring that taxpayer dollars do not fund anti-life agendas under the banner of Catholic charity. While serving the poor remains essential, the withdrawal of funds is a necessary measure to ensure that U.S. aid aligns with moral principles and does not inadvertently support practices contrary to the sanctity of life.

Viewership: 2.3 Million*

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/trump-admin-withdraws-most-funds-for-left-leaning-catholic-relief-services/>



The New York Post

Trump Wins First Round Against China

In his latest for the New York Post, PRI President Steven Mosher shares that President Donald Trump has secured a significant victory in the tariff war with China. Mr. Mosher highlights that Trump's imposition of a 145% tariff on Chinese imports led to considerable economic strain in China, including rising unemployment, business closures, and declining consumption. These pressures compelled Chinese President Xi Jinping to seek negotiations. Consequently, China agreed to major concessions, such as reducing tariffs on U.S. goods to 10%

and curbing fentanyl exports. In response, the U.S. reduced its tariffs to 30%, maintaining economic pressure while offering China some relief.

Viewership: 127.5 Million*

<https://nypost.com/2025/05/17/opinion/china-blinked-and-trump-won-the-first-round-of-his-tariff-war/>



Population Research Institute

PRI Joins Call for the FDA to Revoke Abortion Pill Approval

Population Research Institute has joined national pro-life leaders in a call for Health and Human Services Secretary Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and FDA Commissioner Martin A. Makary to revoke approval of the abortion pill. PRI supports the newly issued letter from these pro-life leaders, which exposes the dangers of the abortion pill. This letter details the new commercial insurance data showing over 94,000 serious complications—such as hemorrhaging, sepsis, and infection—among more than 865,000 women within 45 days of use, indicating the drug is over 20 times riskier than previously reported by the FDA.

Viewership: 5.6 Thousand*

<https://www.pop.org/pro-life-leaders-urge-fda-and-hhs-to-withdraw-dangerous-abortion-pill/>

**total monthly website visitors on each organization's website*