



Apocalypse Now

BY STEVEN W. MOSHER

For decades the doomsayers have perpetrated a series of gigantic and costly frauds upon the human race—and profited from them.

Since the Second World War, powerful movements have been predicting that overpopulation, climate change, and various environmental disasters would soon make the planet unlivable.

None of these predictions has come true, but that has seemingly only led these fanatical movements to redouble their efforts, convinced perhaps that when the collapse comes, it will be all the worse for having been so long delayed.

The earliest wave of gloom and doom was generated by those who were warned about something they called “overpopulation.”

Leading the way was my former colleague at Stanford University, Paul Ehrlich, who, in the mid-sixties, began making increasing-



Getty images /

ly wild claims about the coming Armageddon.

The population was outpacing the food supply, Ehrlich claimed, and there was simply no way to feed everyone. Hundreds of millions of people were going to starve to death in the next decade.

There was a good possibility, he went on, that the world famines that would begin in the 1970s would lead to thermonuclear war and the extinction of the human species. (George Getze, “Salt Lake City Tribune, 17

(continued on page 3)

INSIDE

- 2 What’s Behind The Schumer Doctrine?
- 5 Find Out What George Weigel Thinks The Church Needs Now
- 6 Finding Help For A Grieving Mother: PRI To The Rescue
- 7 PRI Newest Additions
- 8 The Children Of Poland Are The Hope Of Europe

- 9 Forward, March!
- 10 In Spain, PRI Defends Life From UN Population Controllers
- 10 Video Series on the Pandemics
- 11 Letters to the Editor
- 12 PRI in the News

What's Behind The Schumer Doctrine?

BY DR. CHRISTOPHER MANION

Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer (D, N.Y.) recently gave a novel excuse for his support of unlimited illegal immigration.

“Now more than ever, we’re short of workers,” he told reporters outside the U.S. Capitol last November 16. “We have a population that is not reproducing on its own, with the same level that it used to. The only way we’re going to have a great future in America is if we welcome and embrace immigrants, the ‘Dreamers’—and all of them because our ultimate goal is to help the Dreamers but [also] get a path to citizenship for all 11 million or however many undocumented there are here.”

Schumer’s is a curious calculation. Ever since the *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization* last June, Schumer’s primary goal has been to “nationalize” *Roe v. Wade* legislatively. Thanks to that Supreme Court ruling, over fifty

million unborn Americans have been aborted in America since 1973, and Schumer wants to abort tens of millions more.

Yet, “we’re short of workers,” and Chuck Schumer doesn’t know why. But so what? If there aren’t enough Americans to achieve a “great future in America,” then we just have to replace them with illegal aliens.

Chuck Schumer’s math doesn’t add up, but this perpetual paradox is nothing new for the population control movement. Whatever the current crisis, we can always find abortion at the core of the movement’s matrix. Ultimately, its goal for America is not a “great future,” but an increasingly empty one.

Riding on the wave of the sexual revolution, the controllers’ agenda has it all: feminism (a woman’s “right to choose”),

(continued on page 4)



Getty images /

The Population Research Institute Review is published bimonthly by:

Population Research Institute

109 East Main St.
Front Royal VA 22630
(540)-662-5240
pri@pop.org
www.pop.org

Fr. Paul Marx, OSB, PhD
(1920-2010)
Founder

Steven W. Mosher
President

Andrew Mosher
Director of Administration

Karen Shannon
Director of Development

Carlos Polo
*Director, PRI Latin
American Office*

Carlos Beltramo
*Director, PRI European
Office*

Katarina Carranco
Director, PRI Rome Office

Design by
Perceptions Studio
Amherst, New Hampshire

Apocalypse Now, *continued*

November 1967, “Dire Famine Forecast by ’75.”).

He said that the population of the U.S. was already too big and that its population might have to be controlled by force, perhaps by putting sterilizing agents into the drinking water and staple foods. He singled out Roman Catholics in particular and said that Rome should be pressured into going along with these and other methods of birth control.

This “repent, the end of is near” prophecy, like the ones that would follow, did not age well. But it accomplished its purpose. The media ran with it, and the public was terrified. The public turned to the politicians and demanded action, and the politicians were only too happy to comply.

Over the past half-century, governments have spent tens of billions of dollars in an effort to reduce the number of babies born. Even now, hundreds of thousands of people continue to be employed in the worldwide movement to combat something called “overpopulation.”

They can’t define overpopulation—even today, there is still no workable demographic definition of what constitutes too many people—but they are just as certain today as they were in 1967 that it must be stopped.

The same scenario has played out many times since, in scam after scam.

You may not remember Global Cooling, but I do. I was at Stanford University in the seventies when it began, and the university’s President,

Donald Kennedy, was all in. He even edited a book about it.

The theory—such that it was—was that man was putting so much fine dust in the air by using fossil fuels that they would screen out the sunlight, or at least enough so the average temperature would drop five or six degrees and trigger a new Ice Age.

But when the scientists noticed that the earth’s temperature was not falling but rising—ever so slightly—they pivoted on a dime and switched to Global Warming. In the decades since, we have been hectored about using fossil fuels on the false grounds that this is causing the planet to warm.

When global temperatures stopped rising some 20 years ago, they reinvented themselves again as opponents of Climate Change. Every heat wave or cold front, blizzard or hurricane, flood, or drought is now taken as further “proof” that human beings have somehow wrecked the weather.

The one constant in this cascade of faux crises is that the population controllers and their environmentalist allies always blame them on humanity and its use of fossil fuels.

So, for some time now, in addition to waging a war on population, the population controllers have been waging a war on energy as well. Both Joe Biden and Vladimir Putin are slowly shutting down oil production, albeit for different reasons.

Reducing the world’s supply of fossil fuels without having a ready replacement will push millions into starvation, sickness, death, and vio-

“ If the goal is to reduce the world’s population, the population controllers and their enviro-allies may finally be succeeding.

lence. The dreaded fertilizer shortage anticipated for 2023 will reduce life expectancies even further.

And then there is Covid. The population controllers, including some of the world’s wealthiest people, have long wanted to plague us to death or vax us into infertility.

If the goal is to reduce the world’s population, the population controllers and their enviro-allies may finally be succeeding.

The only people in the West who will feel good about all this are those who have been duped into thinking there are too many people, or that Climate Change is real.

There is, of course, an added bonus for those who got in on the scam early and invested in gov’t subsidized windmills, solar panels, or carbon credits.

Or, say, mRNA vaccines.

PRI will continue to expose these fraudsters throughout 2023.

“alternate lifestyles” (all of them unfruitful), vasectomy as a “sacrament,” euthanasia, pornography, premarital sex, unmarried cohabitation, easy divorce, promiscuity, abortion, homosexuality, same-sex marriage, polyamory, transgenderism, and more.

It is the classic “Death of a Thousand Cuts.” The target? Faithful, holy, and loving families with lots of kids.

Surprise! Abortion Is Good For Business!

Population Control is apparently good business as well. In fact, the Biden Administration now offers “benefits” for defense workers that many businesses and other institutions have offered for years: subsidized pregnancy leave and ample time for “rest and recovery” after the “products of pregnancy” have been “removed.”

After all, a mother who takes a week’s leave with pay to abort her child costs her employer a lot less money and trouble than the mother who takes pregnancy and child-care leave to bear her child to term and bring her home.

That loving mother’s absence requires that her employer hire an expensive temporary replacement.

And there arises another paradox: such “human resources” policies are designed to eliminate humans, just like Chuck Schumer’s math. In his years of zealous support for aborting the unborn, Schumer has worked overtime to make sure that there will never be enough Americans. All the while, he claims that there can never be too many illegal aliens.

The logical conclusion reads something like this: “unlimited abortion and unlimited illegal immigra-



Thanks to that Supreme Court ruling, over fifty million unborn Americans have been aborted in America since 1973, and Schumer wants to abort tens of millions more.

tion will guarantee a great future in America.” And for Chuck Schumer, it has become a moral imperative.

Let’s call it the Schumer Doctrine.

What About Catholic Doctrine?

We note that all of the ingredients of the population control agenda conflict with the timeless moral teaching of the Catholic Church. That is, they are objective evils. They are sins.

America’s Catholic bishops know this, of course, and it is their consecrated duty to teach it, “in season and out of season” (2 Tim. 4:2).

But Catholic bishops are also stalwart advocates of immigration. What do they make of the Schumer Doctrine?

After all, abortion wounds a family forever, and so can illegal immigration. All too often, a father leaves his home, wife, and children to work “off the grid”—sometimes for years—sending money home and, all too often, starting a second “informal” family here in the U.S. It’s a recipe for disaster.

In 2019, looking forward to the 2020 elections, the U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops (USCCB) declared that abortion was the bishops’ “preeminent political issue.”

Thereafter, however, they fell silent on the issue, while their sup-

port of immigration—both legal and illegal—picked up steam. Abortion might be their “preeminent issue” in theory, but immigration is their highest priority in practice.

They make the magisterial optional and the optional magisterial.

Meanwhile, to use Schumer’s phrase, the Catholic population “is not reproducing on its own” either. Churches are closing by the hundreds every year.

Is that due to the bishops’ silence on the Church’s teaching on marriage and family? *Humanae Vitae*, the 1968 encyclical of Saint Paul VI, teaches the beauty of marriage and children. Pope Paul, truly a prophet in his own time, predicted the damage that the sexual revolution would bring, fueled especially by the contraceptive pill and its inevitable companion, abortion as “birth control.”

Had bishops echoed that beautiful teaching in the years since 1968, would they need to fill their empty pews with foreigners today?

Pope Paul’s teaching personifies God’s command in Eden—“be fruitful and multiply.” Chuck Schumer’s Doctrine mimics Satan’s hiss in the ear of Eve: “Kill! Kill!”

As the pro-life movement begins a new chapter in post-*Dobbs* America, let’s resolve to devote our efforts not only to saving babies but to having more of them!

PRI's special gift to you...

Bringing one of the Church's most historic events into clear focus

To introduce this book, please let me tell you that *Catholic World Report* calls it "A watershed study of Vatican II" . . .

. . . The book is *To Sanctify the World: The Vital Legacy of Vatican II* by George Weigel. He is the author of over twenty books, including the widely acclaimed *Witness to Hope: The Biography of Pope John Paul II*.

Here is a brief look at the insights you'll find in George Weigel's latest book, *To Sanctify the World* . . .

- The events that necessitated Vatican II... the staggering number and divergency of opinions that flowed forth when the Vatican asked the world episcopate for input on possible Council topics...the sheer size of Vatican II and diversity of Church officials participating... the explosive question of allowing non-Catholic religious leaders to participate in various ways in Vatican II events . . .
- What Vatican II actually taught...how the media presented Vatican II to the world, portraying it as a power struggle between "open-minded liberals" and "close-minded conservatives" — and how media coverage affected the outcome of Vatican II...a close look at Vatican II's debate on the language to be used in liturgical celebrations...

The National Catholic Register says this about *To Sanctify the World*: "This work by George Weigel is a very important read not only because of the recently celebrated 60th anniversary of the beginning of the Second Vatican Council but because Vat-


ican II continues to be misunderstood by many and manipulated by some as a justification for ideas the Council Fathers would never have countenanced."

And Cardinal Timothy Dolan has these words of praise for *To Sanctify the World*:

"Historians tell us that the genuine interpretation of an Ecumenical Council's teaching usually at least takes sixty years to settle. That means we are now at the point of serenely and sanely understanding the authentic magisterium of Vatican Council II. And count on Weigel to provide an attractive and compelling synthesis of it."

To Sanctify the World: The Vital Legacy of Vatican II will give you new insights into one of the most significant events in Church history — many new insights. With our sincere thanks for your much-needed support of \$50 or more, your copy will be on its way to you the same day we hear from you. Shall we send it?

P. S. Your support now will immediately help fund all PRI programs — including our fight to outlaw abortion in every state...our donations of sonogram scanners to pro-life hospitals in remote areas of Africa and Latin America...and many other programs that defend life, family and the Church.



Please use the enclosed Gift Reply to request your copy of...
To Sanctify the World: The Vital Legacy of Vatican II

Gifts to PRI are tax deductible. Give today!



Finding Help For A Grieving Mother: PRI To The Rescue

by Carlos Polo, Director, PRI Ibero-American Office

Pro-lifers are devoted to defending unborn children, but our enemies attack us, claiming that we “don’t care about women.” We “don’t understand their pain, their needs,” they cry.

This pro-abortion party line is as familiar as it is false. In fact, the PRI Office for Ibero-America has long been advocating legislation that supports women—specifically, those untold thousands of mothers who are suffering from the loss of a child in the days before, during, or shortly after childbirth.

Many women experience this painful loss quietly and unnoticed. And they suffer in absolute desolation: suddenly, the joyful expectation

of the arrival of a child into the world confronts instead a death that is both inexplicable and inconsolable. The baby that she had borne so eagerly, in spite of nausea, dizziness, fatigue, and a hormonal whirlwind, has suddenly died. Husbands and children share the profound grief of these grieving mothers.

But what can they do? In most Latin American countries, there are no care protocols to deal with this affliction, medically known as perinatal bereavement (sharing the sorrow of a lost newborn child). In most instances, there is no medical care available for those mothers and families who have experienced the loss of their unborn or newborn child.

As a result, many mothers have to deal with the avalanche of emotions in helpless solitude.

And that’s not all. The most tragic experience of these mothers is the treatment they receive in health centers after their baby has died. Medical staff simply minimizes the fact that their child existed at all. They try to address the problem by ignoring it.

Instead of offering emotional support, doctors and nurses comfort these mothers by assuring them that “you’re young and you will have other children,” or consoling them by saying, “it is over; you can go home now.” They treat the woman as if her child wasn’t real, as if she had never felt its beating heart, seen the beautiful ultrasound, the heartbeat she heard, or smiled when she felt the first little kicks.

These medical “professionals” act as though the best way to help the mother get over the pain of losing her child is to forget it as quickly as possible.

Thanks To PRI, Help Is On Its Way

In seeking measures to help mothers alleviate that pain, the Population Research Institute’s Iberoamerican office is supporting legislation that has recently been introduced in both Peru and Guatemala.

On November 21, 2022, Peruvian Member of Parliament Milagros Jáuregui de Aguayo took action on



Getty images /

behalf of a group of women who had been victims of the healthcare system.

The title of the legislation she introduced explains its goal: “A Law To Establish The Adoption Of Measures For Gestational, Perinatal And Neonatal Death And Bereavement.” The bill’s supporters call it simply the “Star Law,” because, for them, their lost children are “star babies” who had life in their mothers’ wombs and whose eternal soul lives on. Even though the children are no longer physically present, they share a spiritual connection with their parents that shines a light in their lives and guides them every day.

On October 19, 2022, Guatemalan Member of Parliament Gabriela Guerra proposed “A Law Of Support For Gestational Death With Dignity.” This legislation has already been introduced in Plenary Session, where it was referred to the Legislation, and Constitutional Affairs Committee chaired by Gabriela Guerra.

After debate, the proposal’s supporters expect the Committee to endorse it.

Ligia de Briz, a spokesperson for AFI Guatemala, tells PRI that the proposal was so powerful that radical feminist groups have not dared to issue an opinion, for fear of attracting criticism. They fear that their callous response might cause widespread outrage and damage the image of the pro-abortion movement. After all, they are focused on Guatemala’s upcoming elections.

Both the Peruvian and the Guatemalan proposals offer several specific measures that might be offered to the mother when her baby dies. Mothers need to “live their grief.” This doesn’t mean denying the existence of their

PRI Newest Additions



Here at PRI, we practice what we preach. The latest ‘new additions’ to the PRI staff.

child, but rather, giving the baby the human dignity it deserves. With a mother’s care protocol, women could be allowed to meet their child, give her a funeral and burial, have her picture taken, or keep her handprints or footprints. They would then be able to say goodbye to their baby properly and preserve the memory of a living being who lived and grew in their wombs.

It is the mothers of these children who request that they be given a memory of that unforgettable moment when they met their lost children and show them their love. Until today, they have not been offered the opportunity, and they regret it.

All too often, they have been offered no evidence at all of their child’s existence. The medical staff have not given them the opportunity

to meet him. They decided for the mother, and the decision was “No.”

These grieving women do not want others to go through the same suffering.

In contrast, abortion advocates deny the very existence of an unborn child. They turn their backs on women who suffer such a shocking loss of their child. Their silence in the face of these pro-woman, pro-family, and pro-life measures in Peru and Guatemala proves that it is they who in reality “don’t care about women.” It is they who “don’t understand their pain.”

We at the Population Research Institute’s Iberoamerican office do care, and we will continue to work for the adoption of similar legislation throughout the region.



The Children Of Poland Are The Hope Of Europe

by Carlos Beltramo, Director, PRI European Office

The Catholic Faith is flourishing in Poland.

When it comes to family, Poland gets serious. “Education For Family Life” is a required course in the standard curriculum of primary education.¹ The course’s primary objective teaches Polish children to value the family in their personal lives (first objective), to learn about the changes in the human body during the prenatal period, and to have a respectful view of sexuality (fifth objective).

As students grow older, they are taught gradually to acquire the habits of maturity that prepare them for marriage and for raising their future family. The curriculum’s chapter on sexuality articulates the principles involved: love, marriage, fatherhood, responsibility, lasting bonds, and the natural complementarity of men and women are introduced as a harmonious foundation for their future lives.

The curriculum also treats the very practical side of sex quite seriously, explaining with concrete examples why students should wait until marriage to start their sexual life.

The European Union Is Not A Happy Family

In 21st century Europe, an official curriculum teaching these principles seems like a fantasy. But it is real—so real that those principles are one of the major targets in the attacks on

Poland constantly launched by EU bureaucrats. For example, in the most recent battle in the war against the heroic Polish people, the European Commission attempted to impose the legalization of surrogate motherhood and adoption by homosexual couples on all member countries. The Commission insisted that, if one country accepts the practice, then all are required to do so.

Poland rejected the proposal, adding that its refusal would abide so long as the current government is in place.²

Scandalously, the same EU that attacks the Polish government has several Members of Parliament in prison for participating in an influence and money-laundering operation with Qatar and Morocco.³ What are the grounds of that attack? Alleged problems with Poland’s Supreme Court. But meanwhile, the Socialist government of Pedro Sanchez is shamelessly attempting to manipulate Spain’s Supreme Court, but that’s fine with the EU.

Why the silence? Perhaps it’s due to the Spanish Parliament’s passage last December of a “new” abortion law that allows 16-year-old girls to receive abortions without their parents’ knowledge.⁴ Those same girls, according to Spanish law, cannot go on an excursion to a museum without their parents’ permission, but

today they abort their unborn child and no one can stop them.

Spain and Poland: A Stark Contrast

Why are Poland and Spain not treated equally in the EU? Is it because of their policies regarding life, marriage, abortion? The government’s Minister of Education recently told parents that “your children belong to the State,” and imposed a sex education law promoting contraceptives and abortion as alternatives to family planning in government schools. Moreover, parents are not allowed to withdraw their children from these classes—so much for the primacy of parental rights in their children’s education.

Spain’s anti-family policies are the polar opposites to those in Poland. Not only is Polish education centered on the values of life, love and freedom, but the law also explicitly states that parents are the in charge of their children’s education, and can decide whether or not their children attend those classes.

And the results are clear. Spain has increasingly fewer marriages, more marital breakups, higher abortion rates and a birth rate of demographic suicide.⁵ In contrast, in Poland these demographic figures are improving, and in some cases are being reversed.⁶

¹ <https://www.gov.pl/web/edukacja-i-nauka/podstawa-programowa6>

² <https://notesfrompoland.com/2022/12/09/poland-to-veto-eu-recognition-of-same-sex-parents-says-justice-ministry/>

³ <https://www.politico.eu/article/qatargate-how-the-key-players-are-connected/>

⁴ <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-61483868>

⁵ <https://www.renacimientoodemografico.org/>

⁶ https://www.lasexta.com/noticias/sociedad/bilbao-hay-8000-perros-mas-que-ninos-estos-son-los-que-preferir-una-cota-de-bes_2022090563160fd8902b280001fa5a70.html



HUMANAE VITAE COALITION

Forward, March!

by Dr. Christopher Manion, Director of the *Humanae Vitae* Project

As the March for Life on the fiftieth anniversary of *Roe v. Wade* drew near in January, excitement was in the air.

Arlington Bishop Michael Burbidge presided at the crowded Mass for pro-life leaders the day before the March. “There is much to celebrate,” he said, “there is much to be grateful for—we can finally say that Roe is no more.”

Celebrate indeed—but that doesn’t mean our work is over. On the morning of the March, as a hundred thousand joyous pro-lifers filled the streets of Washington, New Jersey, Congressman and pro-life champion Chris Smith got straight to the point: “We have a fight like we’ve never had before,” he said. “The defense of life is finally now in the court of lawmakers at the local, State, and Federal level.”

And that means all fifty states. 87 Legislatures and Senates. This time around, we don’t have to go to Washington to see the elected officials who are going to be debating the post-Roe laws—they live right down the street.

Work with them. Help the good ones. When you run into the bad ones, defeat them.

And whatever else you do, “push back hard,” Chris Smith says. “Make laws to protect life.”

With Faith, We Can Move Mountains!

Bishop Burbidge addressed a broader challenge—and it involves another

“fight like we’ve never had”—regain- ing the culture.

“At the same time, we must support efforts to build a broader culture of life—through government, to be sure, but also in civil society,” he said.

That’s quite a mountain to climb, and it’s not getting any easier.

America has become increasingly secular since the 1970s, to the point where Roe’s reversal last summer generated violent outrage among the godless. Even our language had changed. The phrase “a woman’s right to choose” has been drilled into three generations. Thanks to the secular Culture of Death, “civil society” has become increasingly uncivil.

And the *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization* decision won’t change that. We’re focusing our efforts now on our neighborhoods, towns, counties, and State capitals. That’s the new reality we’re going to be working in now.

An Archbishop Welcomes More Babies

As we put together our own game plans, here’s one that you might want to mention to your bishop:

Concelebrating at the January 19th Mass was Kansas City Archbishop Joseph Naumann, a longtime friend of the Population Research Institute. After the Mass, he told me how PRI President Steve Mosher had visited him several years ago and

urged him to personally baptize all third children.

Mosher believed that having bishops, archbishops, and even cardinals perform the baptisms of children born in large families would send an unmistakable pro-life message to young parents, encouraging them to be generous in welcoming children from God.

Archbishop Naumann pledged to personally baptize every new child born to a family of three children or more. Not only that, but he also told me that he had shared Mosher’s idea with “some of my brother bishops, and I’m hopeful.”

A Warning From A Founding Father

In finishing his remarks, Vice-President Pence invoked the words of Thomas Jefferson that appear on Washington’s Jefferson Memorial.

“God who gave us life gave us liberty. Can the liberties of a nation be secure when we have removed a conviction that these liberties are the gift of God? Indeed I tremble for my country when I reflect that God is just, that his justice cannot sleep forever.”

“Jefferson’s words should serve as an admonition to each one of us to steel our resolve and our determination,” he said.

So we have our marching orders – to carry the fight to the fifty States until America is finally free of the scourge of abortion.

Forward, March!

In Spain, PRI Defends Life From UN Population Controllers

PRI experts recently explored the UN's latest fashion show—"Agenda 2030."

The occasion: a January conference at the Catholic University of Valencia. The topic: the UN's familiar, pompous ideology, "Sustainable Development"—now appearing in a new costume: "Transforming Our World."

Dr. Carlos Beltramo, of PRI's European Office, was blunt: words matter. What's an "agenda," anyway?

Normally, it represents a community's articulation of its goals. But the UN's "Integrated and Indivisible" Agenda comes right out of "Brave New World." The "World Control-



ler" says, "it's all or nothing," and it's a command performance.

As Carlos Polo, Director of PRI's Iberoamerican Office, explained, the UN's "Viceroy" treat Latin American

countries like colonies. They deceitfully borrow attractive phrases from Catholic Social Doctrine—"pursuing peace," "alleviating poverty"—to attract Catholic support.

But don't forget—Agenda 2030 is indivisible. And that innocent disguise conceals a deadly poison: universal abortion until birth.

PRI's experts closed by quoting Pope Francis, who spelled it out on January 9th: "Peace requires before all else the defense of life, a good that today is jeopardized not only by conflicts, hunger, and disease but all too often even in the mother's womb, through the promotion of an alleged right to abortion."


PRI Video Series on the Pandemic Gets Rave Reviews

If you have yet to listen to the PRI Video Series on Pandemics headed by Steven Mosher, you are missing out! In this six-part video series, China Expert and PRI President Steven Mosher exposes China as the epicenter of pandemics. Steven discusses how he first discovered that the Covid-19 plague came from the Wuhan lab—and voiced that opinion on Tucker Carlson Tonight. His "Lab Leak" theory quickly gained widespread attention as the American people started to understand that China's narrative was not to be trusted.

In this series, Steven devastates politically correct narratives about the Covid-19 pandemic and the

deadliest plagues in history. As he explains, deadly plagues have ripped across the globe for centuries and will continue to do so in the future. From the Black Death to Smallpox and the Hong Kong flu, seven of the ten worst plagues in history originated in China. But the Covid-19 pandemic was something entirely new: a genetically engineered pathogen that was deliberately released upon the world for the geopolitical profit of a Communist government.

People have many positive comments about the series; here are a few! "All the facts," "Very informative!," "Excellent information!," "This was very interesting and has proven



that many were correct about the Covid Pandemic. China was responsible, and it was the first of a planned Pandemic. The way it was handled was also wrong." Don't wait any longer to check it out at <https://www.pop.org/coronavirus-2>. You will not regret it.



IRELAND Pro-Aborts May Have Won

The Irish Times released an article on the Rotunda Hospital in Dublin, where they have the shocking statistics of 95% of mothers expecting a Down Syndrome baby have an abortion.¹ The master of the hospital, Prof Fergal Malone, says that the Rotunda hospital does not promote abortion by any means, and the parents come to a decision themselves, “We don’t advocate for it, that is just the lived experience.” This is so disturbing to hear; people are actively electing to have abortions even without the persuasion of their medical advisors. It seems that the pro-aborts in Ireland are getting their way; abortion is considered a norm and not something that is used in desperate and dire situations.



USA Statue Placed In Honour Of Abortion

If you stroll by the Manhattan state courthouse, you will see a new brazened statue atop the building. The figure seems to be some form of a monster, “Staring regally ahead with hair braided like spiraling horns.” This is appropriate as it was built as a “traditional representations of power in public spaces and recasts civic structures to better reflect 21st-century social mores...at a time when women’s reproductive rights were under siege after the U.S. Supreme Court in June overturned the constitutional right to abortion.”² The statute represents the right to murder, the mother’s right to murder her child. The age we live in is foul and dark, and now literal statues are placed in public spaces, representing society sacrificing its children. How can the work of the devil be any more obvious?

Dear Steve,

The Idaho Statesman in Boise, Idaho, published an editorial board article that claims that abortion bans have raised the maternal mortality rate. Does your organization respond or have a rejoinder to that “argument” available to send to that newspaper? I hope someone locally will reply, but we need evidence-based information to counter that.

— Thank you,
Barbara

.....

This is fake news, Barbara. The study was based on data from 2020 when elective abortions were widely available in every state. Prior to overturning Roe v. Wade, babies in America, like babies in China, could be aborted at almost any point in pregnancy.

The difference in maternal mortality rates between states is a result of economic differences between wealthy, liberal coastal states and poorer, inland states, especially in the south.

The actual problem is the opposite. In many states like New Mexico, doctors and nurses are leaving after conscience protections for medical workers who oppose abortion have been repealed. What will that do to maternal mortality rates?

Very, very few ob-gyns are willing to perform abortions anyway. Despite the claims of the pro-abortion movement that abortion is “health care,” they know that it is not.

The Biden administration blames pro-life laws for high maternal mortality rates but at the same time hypocritically refused to protect women’s lives by increasing Medicaid coverage in poorer states.

Pro-life laws are not to blame for mothers dying.
— Steven W. Mosher

¹ <https://www.irishtimes.com/health/2022/12/26/rotunda-master-says-95-of-parents-of-babies-diagnosed-with-down-syndrome-choose-abortion/>

² <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/01/25/arts/design/discrimination-sculpture-madison-park-sikander-women.html>

PRI IN THE NEWS

LifeSiteNews Technology Has Gone Too Far

LifeSiteNews released an article at the end of December about attorney Kelly Conlon who was, accompanying her daughter and her daughter's girl-scout group to a Christmas spectacular at Radio City Music Hall in New York City. She was escorted out of the premises due to facial recognition technology. The technology recognized her as the employee of a law firm involved in suing the establishment; however, Conlon is not actively involved in the lawsuit. "The attorney has argued that MSG's facial image database is a violation of privacy that may be grounds for a lawsuit." This is the only beginning, as Miami International Airport (MIA) says it will release similar facial recognition technology this year. Steven Mosher said to *LifeSiteNews* that "intrusive biometric screening technology on its way to MIA is reminiscent of similar tools widely in use by China's Communist regime."



Viewership: xx thousand*

lifesitenews.com/news/mother-kicked-out-of-new-york-city-venue-after-facial-id-technology-flags-her-banned-employer

New York Post The Suffering Chinese Shall Not Remain Silent Any Longer



In December, the *New York Post* released an article with anonymous statements from Chinese friends of Steven Mosher. They are protesting the lockdowns as they are suffering under the measures in place to enforce "Covid-Zero." If they do not take the covid vaccines, they are not allowed to work or travel. They are losing their jobs and can now not pay their bills or support their families. They have QR codes that track their movements; the QR code will notify authorities immediately if they enter a lockdown area, and they will get locked in their homes to quarantine. One says, "We are not afraid of COVID-19, but rather of the hell that we are now living. We are tired of being deceived by the powerful year after year. We demand an immediate end to the wrongheaded and absurd "zero-COVID" policy."

Viewership: xx thousand*

nypost.com/2022/12/03/four-chinese-tell-why-theyre-protesting-xi-zero-covid-policy

Wall Street Journal Western World Must Take Responsibility For China's Depopulation Efforts

According to an article in the *Wall Street Journal*, the Western world must also take responsibility for the decreased Chinese population. John Robbins, a social activist and humanitarian, wrote a book in 1959 that argued "if humanity is to have a future," the West would have to see to it that fewer Asians were born in the years ahead." The Western world can gasp in horror at the works of China. Still, in actuality, they knew what was happening, encouraged it, and refused to listen to any of their researcher's findings on how China was implementing decreasing its population. Just one example is Steven Mosher; he was shunned, ignored, and turned away by Stanford university when he came to them with evidence of forced abortions on women in China. "In 1983, Stanford dismissed student Steven Mosher from its doctoral program after Beijing threatened to close its doors to the university's scholars."

Viewership: xx thousand*

wsj.com/articles/are-there-too-many-asians-population-control-china-children-babies-family-growth-humanity-birth-rate-11674508135

**total monthly website visitors on each organization's website*