



## We Need More American Babies

BY STEVEN W. MOSHER

America's birth rate remains stubbornly low, even as illegals are flooding in.

The numbers do not bode well for America.

America's birth rate is now about the lowest it has ever been. The total fertility rate in 2023—the number of children American women have over their reproductive lifetimes—is estimated to be 1.784. This is only slightly above the previous low of 1.77 children per woman set in 1980, and well below the 2.1 children needed to sustain the current population. For anyone concerned about the future of America, this is not something to celebrate.

"Children are the world's most valuable resource and its best hope for the future," President John F. Kennedy once said. Actually, they are the only future a family has, the only future a nation has. A country



Getty images / RyanJLane

whose people do not replace themselves quickly grows older and, in many ways, poorer. China's ongoing demographic collapse is a case in point.

To make matters worse, while America's birth rate is languishing, a tsunami of illegal immigrants is pouring into our country. In fact, so many illegals are coming—as a consequence of Biden's open border policies—that we are about to set another record, although not one we should celebrate. This year the num-

ber of migrants arriving in America will eclipse the number of children born to Americans.

In 2022, 3.7 million births were recorded in America, of which some 300,000 were born to illegal migrants. Births are on track to match this in 2023. Over the past 12 months, between those stopped at the border, those who got away, and those arriving legally, some 3.5 million immigrants have landed on our shores. This is another sign that

*(continued on page 4)*

### INSIDE

- 1 We Need More American Babies
- 2 Argentina Elects a Pro-Life President
- 5 *The Shroud: Face to Face*
- 6 A Response to an Honest Reader
- 7 A New Year's Message from PRI

- 8 Why are globalist elites trying to eliminate their fellow man?
- 10 Planned Parenthood Sugarcoats the Abortion Pill
- 11 From the Countries
- 12 PRI In the News



Getty images / uschools

The Population Research Institute Review is published bimonthly by:

**Population Research Institute**

109 East Main St.  
Front Royal VA 22630  
(540)-662-5240  
pri@pop.org  
[www.pop.org](http://www.pop.org)

Fr. Paul Marx, OSB, PhD  
(1920-2010)  
*Founder*

Steven W. Mosher  
*President*

Andrew Mosher  
*Director of Administration*

Karen Shannon  
*Director of Development*

Carlos Polo  
*Director, PRI Latin American Office*

Carlos Beltramo  
*Director, PRI European Office*

Chiara McKenna  
*New Media Specialist*

Design by  
Perceptions Studio  
Amherst, New Hampshire

# Argentina Elects a Pro-Life President

BY CARLOS POLO, DIRECTOR, PRI IBEROAMERICA OFFICE AND  
CARLOS BELTRAMO, DIRECTOR, PRI EUROPEAN OFFICE

Javier Milei, who has just taken office as the president of Argentina, is a man of many accomplishments. A free-market economist, he is the first libertarian president in history.

His interview on Tucker Carlson's Twitter channel – in Spanish, no less! – received an amazing 422 million views, and its impact was felt worldwide. In those few minutes, Milei simply annihilated all the arguments of the Argentine Left. Not one “progressive” policy—those same Marxist lies that collectively have destroyed the Argentinian economy and society for years—not one of them escaped his wrath.

To those familiar with him, Milei's remarks to Tucker Carlson came as no surprise. Before entering the political arena, he had already acquired mil-

lions of fans. In countless appearances around the country, this charismatic figure had laced his presentations not with slogans and epithets, but with ideas.

In a country that has been mired in socialism for almost 70 years, his championing of free-market ideals was a breath of fresh air.

Argentina is blessed with bountiful natural resources, but the socialist policies of Juan Perón, known as “Peronismo,” have driven it down the road to ruin. For decades, Argentinians have been longing for an exit ramp – and suddenly Milei appears: What's this? An economist who embraces the free market, who wants to shrink the state that's drowning Argentines in taxes?

(continued on page 3)

## Argentina, *continued*

It sounded ridiculous at first, but, little by little, Milei attracted millions of followers, especially young ones.

Milei's outspoken conservatism portends the possibility of a first – finally, a conservative victory in the cultural battle that we at PRI have been fighting throughout the Spanish-speaking world.

Milei has been at our side in that battle for years.

Milei has lit a spark among young conservative Argentines. Now they are demanding that the State stop drowning in the socialist agenda of decline.

In the realm of ideas, Milei won a vital victory – he won the cultural battle. With his unabashed and unadulterated conservatism, he has managed to reset the stage in Argentina's political debate.

One of the first issues that Carlson addressed was the defense of life from the moment of conception. Milei has consistently defended unborn life for years. And the battle for life means confronting the abortion movement, which the international population control cabal has been funding with millions of dollars and multinational pressure for years. Since 2018, Milei has taken every opportunity to defend his pro-life principles. Others on the endless late-night talk shows might belittle his views, even insult him, but he sticks to his guns.

A friend, the popular Argentine journalist Alejandro Fantino, once warned Milei that being boldly pro-life would lose him votes. So what? Calmly explaining the no-nonsense evidence that the unborn are as human as the rest of us, he says he's pure “blue” – the color worn by

“Milei has consistently defended unborn life for years. And the battle for life means confronting the abortion movement.

thousands of Argentines in their pro-life marches.

Milei regards abortion as murder and isn't afraid to say so. Neither is their vice president, Victoria Villaruel, a Catholic who goes to Mass every day. An example: during the first week after winning the elections, Bertie Benegas Lynch, a very influential deputy in Milei's party, repeatedly sent the same message: “That we have an abortion law is a savagery. I would repeal it.” Benegas Lynch is referring to the fact that in Argentina, abortion has been legal since 2020. And that's a big problem, because, since it's virtually impossible right now for Milei's party to attain a majority in parliament, that law isn't likely to change any time soon.

But, maybe not. Milei has offered to hold a referendum that might persuade—or even force—Congress to revise that law. Polls indicate that a majority of Argentines would welcome such a move. And this time around, it would be the president himself marching in the lead of that campaign. Or it can wait for the midterm elections, when one-third of the Congress and half of the Senate are up for election. If the trends that emerged in this election continue, that should finally produce enough support to end abortion in Argentina for good.

But there's plenty that Milei can do right now. He's threatened to close the Ministry of Women, which promotes “gender ideology.” He insists that sex education in schools will be done only with the permission of parents and under their guidance. In fact, he wants to institute educational vouchers so that parents can choose their children's school, rather than have the State impose it on them.

His first decisions are heading in that direction. Sandra Pettovello, his choice to head the new Ministry of Human Capital, has a Master's degree in Family from the Austral University (of Opus Dei). And Pablo de la Torre, one of the champion pro-life policy-makers who has created the social programs in the most pro-life city in Argentina, San Miguel, is his choice to serve as the Secretary of Social Welfare.

There's some cause for pause, however, in our enthusiasm: while Milei is solid in many ways, he's unpredictable in others. He doesn't suffer fools gladly, a trait that doesn't sell well on TV – especially when he insulted Pope Francis a couple of years ago. But during the presidential campaign he publicly apologized to the Pope in both debates. A few days after the election the Pope himself called him to congratulate him. The

(continued on page 7)



## American babies, *continued*

America not only needs to close the border, but also to get its demographic house in order.

And who was it that said that “They are not sending us their best”?

Leave aside the coyotes and criminals, the drug runners and the sex offenders, and the military-age males from China. Most of those who are fording the Rio Grande are unable to speak English and have only an elementary school education. In the past — when we had control of the southern border — we used to speak of the brain drain. Poor countries would educate doctors and scientists, only to have them legally immigrate to the U.S. where their skills would command a higher price.

Now we have the brain drain in reverse.

We have millions of poorly educated, low-skilled immigrants competing for menial jobs. The children they bring with them require years of expensive special language instruction. According to the Federation for American Education Reform (FAIR) this is presently costing taxpayers nearly \$80 billion a year and growing.

It would be far better for the next generation of Americans to be “Made in America” than imported from abroad. Native-born students — including the children of legal immigrants — come to school already equipped with the language skills and values they need to learn and succeed in America. Beyond that, Americans should be at the head of the line for the same reason that companies prefer to promote from within — because they understand the company’s culture, speak its language and are more easily accepted by the other employees.

If we want to continue the longest-running experiment in democracy on the planet — and not have our country descend into a maelstrom of warring tribes — we had better do something about it, fast. Closing the border is easy. Easy, that is, if only we had the political will to do it. But how do we solve the birth dearth?

To bolster the birthrate, millennials and zoomers must be encouraged to marry and have children. But to make this happen, certain obstacles have to be cleared out of the way, including a preference for cohabitation over marriage, huge levels of student debt, and the reluctance of Zoomers to “adult”, much less have children. The hookup culture that prevails in most colleges and universities discourages the kind of committed relationships that result in marriage and children. Add to this the burden of student loans, the skyrocketing cost of housing — driven up by illegal immigration — and the heavy tax burden imposed by the government, and you have a formula for barrenhood rather than parenthood. But the primary problem is that we’ve broken the economic link between the generations.

Parents throughout history have raised children—cared for them when they were young and fragile—with the expectation that their children, now adults, would return the favor when they in turn were old and fragile. The state has now usurped this function in countries both rich and poor. And from America to Albania, from Canada to Cuba, birth rates are plummeting.

Now I’m not proposing to push Grandma off the cliff, as the Democrats hysterically claim whenever so-

cial security is mentioned. There’s no going back. Promises made to the elderly must be kept. But there is a way of encouraging the young to invest in children, and it’s one created by the Democrats themselves in their desire to hand out free money to everyone for no reason at all. I’m talking about student loans.

I strongly oppose the blanket forgiveness of student loans. Those who took out those loans, now totaling 1.75 trillion dollars, should pay them back. But one way of doing so would be to ask these young Americans to provide for the future of America by providing the next generation.

Postponing student loan payments of those who are willing to enter into a long-term relationship—also known as “marriage”—with the intention of having children would be a good start. And then, as those children come along, we might forgive more and more of their student loans. Couples willing to raise three or more children should have their student loan completely wiped off the books. They should also be sheltered from paying federal income tax while their children are growing up.

After all, such couples are providing for the future of their country in the most fundamental way, by providing for the future generation, often at great personal sacrifice.

It wasn’t so long ago—in 2007—that Americans of all ethnicities were averaging around 2.1 children. If we want to provide for the future of America as we know it, we should get there again. If we don’t, then we’d better decide who we want to give our country to, since we obviously don’t want it enough to populate it. But there are many who do. And they are just beyond the gates.

PRI’s special gift to you . . .

## *The Shroud: Face to Face*

Please let me send this great thank you gift to you. You may even want an extra to share with someone else in your life. Here’s what the publisher, Sophia Institute Press, says:

Murder, a true crime scene, controversies, leading scientists’ investigations, and a startling NASA study. “An incredibly detailed image of the man on the Shroud shocks the world and befuddles believers and skeptics alike. How to explain such a phenomenon?” writes Robert Orlando, award-winning writer, filmmaker, and director.

When Orlando was first approached about making a documentary regarding the Shroud of Turin, he was skeptical of the project—and the Shroud itself. Then he came across conflicting opinions in history and science. This, along with his desire to learn more about the life of Jesus and make sense of His death, led him to investigate “a new crime scene.” This book was inspired by his new documentary with the same title.

“The murder of Jesus of Nazareth, a carpenter from a small town outside Jerusalem, became the most historically debated crime scene in all recorded history,” Orlando explains. “Not only do people debate the historicity of the event, but unlike most other crime scenes, there’s a religious element. The murder of this simple carpenter somehow launches the largest, most widespread religion of modern times.”

Through his scholarly research and his detective work, Orlando fascinatingly connects historical, archaeological, scientific, art, and theological research to unveil:



- Evidence that dates back to the *time and place* of Christ
- The results of a startling NASA study and leading scientists’ research
- A biblical clue to where the Shroud may have been hidden
- What Jesus *really* experienced according to science, history, and Scripture
- A miraculous healing from the Shroud after the Resurrection

Also included is a timeline of the Shroud’s history and an introduction to the key witnesses of Jesus’ passion, death, and resurrection. While retelling the story of Christ through the lens of ancient customs and traditions, Orlando breaks open the mysterious discovery of the Shroud.

In these gripping pages, Orlando lays out numerous opinions and fact-based arguments, and systematically separates fact from fiction. He raises the question: Is the Shroud the connection between faith and science? If the Shroud really is the burial cloth of Jesus, it is the key to unlocking the world’s greatest mystery and shedding light in an age of disbelief? In the words of Orlando, “The death of Jesus is the most consequential death in human history.”

I can’t think of a better way to connect with our Lord during the upcoming season of Lent, can you? Please let us thank you for your gift of \$50 or more with your own copy of this masterful book. We hope you enjoy reading this as much as we did, and wish you a Blessed and Holy Lenten season.

Please use the enclosed Gift Reply to request your copy of...

*The Shroud: Face to Face*

Gifts to PRI are tax deductible. Give today!





HUMANAE VITAE COALITION

## A Response to an Honest Reader

by Dr. Christopher Manion, Director of the *Humanae Vitae* Project

A lot of folks who read our Weekly Briefing share their thoughts with us, and we're glad to hear from them. Last Fall, I wrote about our bishops and the Church's magisterial teaching on sexual morality, and I got a very intriguing response. I'd written about what's changed – not in the teaching, but in how Americans have preached it over the years.

A century ago, America's bishops weren't afraid to defend chastity, marriage, and large families. They were on the front lines opposing the population control movement – yes, secular “progressives” were cheering Margaret Sanger a hundred years ago, and the response from our bishops was loud and clear: they strongly opposed birth control and, of course, abortion – and they preached about it.

Today, such voices are rare, I observed, suggesting that we urge our bishops to confront the abortion lobby, the population controllers, and yes, the politicians who vote to fund them with millions of our taxpayer dollars.

A couple of days later I heard from a pro-life veteran, a lawyer who has demonstrated in front of abortuaries and defended others who were harassed by law enforcement. He's been on the front lines for years.

“I quit worrying about bishops some while back. Frankly, I'm kind of tired of hearing about them. ‘Put not your trust in princes and sons of

men, in whom there is no salvation,” he wrote.

That got me thinking. Here's my reply.

### To Know Where To Go, We Need To Know How We Got Here

Thank you for your candid note. I think your view might be widely shared, regarding not only our bishops, but the hierarchy, the Vatican, the “Synod” ... as Prufrock put it, “and this, and so much more...” Tire-some indeed. But I am reminded of LBJ's reply to a disgruntled critic: “I'm the only president you've got,” he snapped.

Well, these are the only bishops we've got. And over the years, as the laity came to realize that our shepherds were truly AWOL, we found new leaders – from the laity, with the spiritual guidance from a few dedicated priests far removed from the hierarchy.

The history of the pro-life movement is instructive in this regard. Founded by the laity, grown by the laity, led by the laity – while our shepherds wallowed in the waste of Call to Action and a festering, perpetual scandal.

The Population Research Institute was founded by just such a heroic priest. Father Paul Marx, O.S.B., was often out of sorts with his superiors.

At great professional and personal cost, PRI's president, Steven Mosher, left Stanford and was invited to Washington to reveal the horrors of Communist China's monstrous “one-child program” to the world. And the revelation of that history had consequences.

Steve's message was met with disbelief – followed quickly by intense opposition from the usual suspects. But a Catholic layman, Carl Anderson, working quietly with me on the Senate staff, and then with the Reagan White House, helped develop the Mexico City Policy. That historic legislation curtailed the federal funding of the international population-control cabal – funding which, by the way, was advocated by lobbyists from the U.S. bishops' conference.

Pro-life members of the Senate and House have been united ever since in opposition to that funding, which every Democrat administration has renewed (with support of the bishop conference's lobbyists, as usual), and every Republican administration has suspended.

That is history. Essential history, little-known but vital in guiding the laity's future efforts. How can we succeed if we don't know our friends from our enemies?

Prufrock was right. There is “so much more.”

“Where do we go from here?” Our first task is to know how we got here.

## Argentina, *continued*

Pope suggested him to have “courage and wisdom.” Milei replied: “I have courage, I am working on getting wisdom.” At the end of the call Milei officially invited the Pope to visit his country next year.

Many observers have compared Milei to Donald Trump and they do have much in common: both are outsiders, both have strong personalities, both fearlessly bet on recovering conservative values, and both seek to get corrupt politicians out of power. Perhaps a crucial difference is that Milei, even if he wins the presidency, will have strong opposition from the National Congress and the Senate against him. That is where his true negotiating capacity will be tested.

Milei's first moves to achieve rapprochement with other parties have been solid. Many thought that he would look only for those in his party, which would leave him with a weak minority government. But he is also looking at his earlier opponents to fill some positions, especially if they have real professional experience.



Wikimedia Commons / Casa Rosada (Argentina Presidency of the Nation)

Such choices will improve his prospects: he might not be able to go so fast on reforms, but he will have fewer enemies looking to ruin his government.

In his favor? He received 56% of the votes. That's a majority so solid that it's been exceeded only twice in Argentina's history – by Juan Perón and Juan Hipólito Irigoyen, the founders of the most historic political parties in Argentina.

Also in his favor: Argentines voted for a conservative, pro-life, pro-free market change, shorn of gender ideology. Those on the left say that

democracy is in danger and prepare their attack. But if 56% of Argentines defend their majority, Argentina will not only take off and become great again, it will ignite a worldwide movement based on principle, human dignity, individual freedom, and strong families.

The Population Research Institute has been working for years to protect life and family in Argentina. From early on, Javier Milei has been a true leader committed to the pro-life cause, and we will work with him in every way possible to reach the goal of a pro-life Argentina.

## A New Year's Message from PRI to You!

Thank you for making it possible for PRI to say this! Thanks to you, and the thousands of others who came through, for helping PRI get 2024 off to the strongest start ever!

I can't say it enough: you make everything that we do possible. From sending ultrasound machines to pregnancy centers in need in the

poorest of places around the world to investigating the numerous human rights violations that result from coercive population control practices world-wide. Because of you, we are able to educate the world through our emails and social media posts. You help us spread news of how the world needs to develop a true respect for life, and

traditional family, and encourage young people to trust their desire to marry. You help us save babies, assist mothers, and create happier, healthier families.

As we get 2024 underway, please accept our heartfelt gratitude.

And please accept wishes from all of us at PRI for a happy and blessed 2024!



# Why are globalist elites trying to eliminate their fellow man?

BY STEVEN W. MOSHER

Who is the biggest funder of anti-people population control programs in the world?

If you answered, “The U.S. government,” you would be wrong. A small group of the world’s wealthiest individuals and their foundations collectively fronts more money for the abortion, sterilization, and contraception of the human race than Washington. These men all have billions on their minds, and not just in their bank accounts. They believe that overpopulation is the greatest threat to the planet, the single most

important issue facing mankind today. And they put their money where their mouth is where the abortion, sterilization, and contraception of humanity is concerned.

At the top of the list is Warren Buffett, who may be the single largest funder of abortion in human history. Just this year Buffett gave away a total of some \$4.6 billion, a substantial chunk of which will wind up in the coffers of the abortion industry.<sup>1</sup>

Warren Buffett’s business accomplishments are impressive enough.

Beginning with an antiquated New England textile mill, the billionaire nonagenarian has built Berkshire-Hathaway, Inc., into a publicly traded monolith that is the majority shareholder in Coca-Cola, Dairy Queen, and numerous other companies ranging from newspapers to candies.

And Buffett, both Chairman of the Board and majority shareholder of Berkshire-Hathaway, has been careful to maintain absolute control as his investment vehicle picked up speed. It is no wonder that “the Or-

acle of Omaha” is idolized by Wall Street as a financial genius.

Although Warren Buffett was notoriously tight-fisted for decades—he once denied a loan to his own daughter—he throws his money away like confetti in pursuit of hard-edged, even fanatical, population control. And he’s been doing it for a long, long time.

Most people don’t know that it was Warren Buffett, back in 1994, who provided the seed money to develop the deadly abortion drug, RU-486. The money went to the Population Council, the chief U.S. promoter of the drug, and was used to fund the clinical trials of RU-486 that led to its later legalization by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Another very early grant of \$2 million went to North Carolina’s Family Health International (FHI) for an equally questionable drug, quinacrine hydrochloride. Originally developed as an anti-malarial drug, quinacrine has in recent years been used to perform chemical sterilizations on women. Inserted into the upper part of the uterus, the quinacrine hydrochloride tablets dissolve to form a powerful acid which burns away the lining of the upper uterus and fallopian tubes. The resulting scarring usually renders a woman sterile. If her fallopian tubes are not completely blocked, any babies she subsequently conceives cannot implant.

Family Health International (FHI) initiated testing of quinacrine as a sterilization agent as early as 1976. But it has never been approved by the FDA for use in the U.S. That

hasn’t stopped Buffett from funding its use in other countries.

The Vietnamese government has sterilized tens of thousands of poor women using this method, many without their foreknowledge or consent. Recent reports suggest that ethnic minorities, such as the Hmong and Montagnard, were specifically targeted. Although quinacrine sterilizations have been banned in India, New Delhi newspapers report that more than 30,000 impoverished, illiterate women have been subjected to the painful procedure. Informed consent is often lacking, and follow-up care is nonexistent.

Another one of Buffett’s favorite charities is International Projects Assistance Services (IPAS). IPAS is the principal manufacturer and distributor of the manual vacuum aspirators, or MVAs, used by the UN Population Fund, and other groups.<sup>2</sup>

This deadly device is actually a manually operated suction pump that is used around the world to abort very small babies. When the tip is inserted into the uterus, and the operator pulls the plunger on the syringe, enough vacuum is created at the tip to suck the baby right out of her mother’s womb.<sup>3</sup>

Nor is IPAS’s abortion advocacy an anomaly. A list of Buffett’s charitable contributions read like a veritable rogue’s gallery of abortion promoters and providers. Such groups as the National Abortion Rights Action League (NARAL) – now masquerading as “Reproductive Freedom for All,” the Center for Reproductive Rights, and Pathfinder International figure prominently. And in a par-

ticularly nasty twist, his funding to Planned Parenthood is specifically earmarked to enable particular clinics around the country to perform abortions.<sup>4</sup>

In recent years, determined to give away his fortune before his death, his funding of population control has accelerated. What would possess a man of obvious intelligence and untold wealth to spend billions of dollars to finance aggressive programs of sterilization and abortion? To put it even more bluntly, why is Warren Buffett obsessed with ridding the globe of “excess” baby humans?

His biographer, Roger Lowenstein, reports that Buffett has “a Malthusian dread that overpopulation (will) aggravate problems in all other areas – such as food, housing, even human survival.” And Buffett not only rejected, but developed a strong antipathy to, his parent’s Christianity.

So, Buffett lounges in his office in Omaha, sipping cherry Cokes and plotting billion-dollar investment strategies, while thousands of poor women around the world have their babies sucked out of their wombs by manual vacuum aspirators that he has helped provide.

It is sad that this Midas-like character, so blessed with material goods, should take such a misanthropic view of the people with whom he shares the planet, and from whose existence he profits. Even if he doesn’t recognize Africans or Indians as fellow creatures of God, surely, he knows that they are tremendous consumers of Coke.

<sup>1</sup> <https://finance.yahoo.com/news/warren-buffett-just-gave-away-212414227.html>



Getty images / RyanJLane

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.ipas.org/ipas/mva/index.html>

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.ipas.org/>

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.plannedparenthood.org/learn/abortion>

# Planned Parenthood Sugarcoats the Abortion Pill

BY SAMANTHA HARRIS

The abortion pill is advertised as a magic pill that will painlessly make you “unpregnant.” The side effects of the pill are minimized, if they are mentioned at all.

I am here to tell you that this couldn’t be further from the truth. Let me tell you what is really going on with the abortion pill in terms that everyone can understand.

While completing my degree in neuroscience and biology, I conducted research on how the abortion pill works. I came away from this research understanding not only the mechanism of action of the abortion pill itself, but also the many misconceptions that surround it and the unspoken dangers within it. Those who promote this deadly drug deliberately propagate these misconceptions while hiding the very real dangers.

The deception begins with the name itself. The so-called “abortion pill” is actually two separate pills taken at different times. These two pills have complicated names—Mifepristone and Misoprostol—but straightforward effects. The first starves the baby while the second induces labor to expel it.

Mifepristone, the first of the two pills taken, is also known as RU-486. This drug is what is known as a “competitive progesterone receptor antagonist.” This piece of scientific jargon means that mifepristone fits into, or binds to, the progesterone receptors in the uterus much better than progesterone does itself.

Progesterone, as it turns out, is the hormone that binds to receptors

on the uterus and creates nutrient pathways from the mother to the baby. If mifepristone blocks all of the progesterone receptors—as it is designed to do—then the production of nutrition pathways is halted, and the developing baby is starved of the blood, oxygen, and nutrients it needs to thrive. Within hours of taking the “abortion pill,” the mother’s body begins to slow the flow of nutrients to the baby inside, and within a few days, the baby dies.

The mothers are told to take the second pill, Misoprostol, within one to three days of taking the first. Misoprostol softens and dilates the cervix and induces uterine contractions—literally causing the mother to go into a kind of pseudo-labor! This causes the mother’s body to expel the precious baby and its supportive placenta.

Planned Parenthood, not surprisingly, tries to minimize what happens when a woman takes the Abortion Pill. The organization’s official “The Abortion Pill” video states, “This medicine causes cramping and bleeding to empty your uterus. It’s kind of like having a really heavy period, and the process is very similar to an early miscarriage...” “It is normal to have lots of cramps and bleeding and pass clots and tissue... you may be tired and have some cramping a day or two afterward.”

You can decide for yourself whether a pill designed to cause the death of an unborn baby is “medicine,” defined as “a substance or preparation used in treating disease.”

But to me it seems straightforward: since pregnancy is not a disease, the Abortion Pill cannot be medicine. Rather, it is literally poison, since it is “a substance that through its action usually kills, injures, or impairs an organism.”

You have to do some digging on Planned Parenthood’s website to find out the possible, dangerous complications of the Abortion Pill. The FDA’s Adverse Events Reporting System (FAERS), currently reports 32 deaths related to the Abortion Pill, including deaths from hemorrhage. The FAERS system, like the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System, is entirely voluntary, and both have been criticized for severely underestimating the likelihood and severity of complications from taking drugs and vaccines.

Planned Parenthood is also lying about the psychological side effects of the abortion pill. Its website claims that long-term emotional issues after an abortion are “rare” and “uncommon.”

But women who do have abortions, research from a prestigious institution has shown, experience an 81% increase in mental health problems. Other studies show dramatic increases in stress, depression and anxiety.

It is painful to see the misinformation that Planned Parenthood is putting out there to try and convince young women to take an Abortion Pill. As a young woman, it infuriates me to see my peers being lied to and manipulated in this way.

## From the Countries



### MEXICO Abortuary Coming to Cancun

The international abortion-providing nonprofit, MSI Reproductive Choices (formerly Marie Stopes International), plans to set up a new abortion clinic in Mexico. This abortion mill will be the eleventh the organization has set up in Mexico and will be located in Cancun. According to the regional managing director of Latin America, Araceli Lopez Nava Vázquez, this location was chosen because it has the most direct flights from the United States. The goals of this clinic are to “help” American women and “decrease deaths.” However, these goals are in complete opposition to the purpose of the clinic. Abortion harms women and always ends in at least one death. MSI Reproductive Choices is making money from abortion tourism, taking advantage of vulnerable women that it claims to help.<sup>1</sup>



### UNITED KINGDOM U.K. Responsible for Death of Baby Girl

The National Health Service and U.K. courts took a baby girl’s life in spite of options that could have saved her life. Indi Gregory was born with a rare mitochondrial disease which made her reliant on life support. Despite her disability, Indi was a happy baby who responded to her parents’ touch. In October 2023, Justice Robert Peel

ruled that it was in Indi’s best interest to be taken off life support. Indi’s parents, Dean and Claire Gregory, fought the court for the right of their daughter to live and the case spread from there. Upon hearing the case, Italian Prime Minister Giorgia Meloni granted Indi Italian citizenship and a place at the papal hospital Bambino Gesù where new treatments were available. However, the Court of Appeals judges did not allow Indi to be transferred, even though the Italian government offered to fund Indi’s treatment at no cost to the NHS or U.K. taxpayer. The U.K. judges also refused to allow Indi’s life support to be removed at home, where she could spend her last moments in comfort with her parents. On November 13, Indi passed away at a hospice center in the early hours of the morning. We pray for the repose of her soul and the well-being of her parents left behind.<sup>2</sup>



### NAMIBIA Namibia Rejects Treaty with the EU

Namibia rejected a treaty with the European Union (EU) that could have imposed an anti-life and pro-LGBT agenda on the African nation. On November 1st, 2023, Deputy Prime Minister Netumbo Nandi-Ndaitwah announced that the country would not sign the New Partnership Agreement (NPA) between the Organisation of African, Caribbean, and Pacific States (OACPS) and the EU. While most African countries

are set to sign the agreement on November 15, Nandi-Ndaitwah revealed that she told the EU in February 2022 that Namibia would not sign the 20-year agreement due to concerns that it would undermine the country’s sovereignty. She further shared that the NPA suggests “over eighty (80) regional and international treaties/strategies/initiatives and programmes” that Namibia may not wish to implement in its country. This move was unsurprising as Namibia is one of several African countries fighting the pushed LGBT agenda.<sup>3</sup>



### INDIA Doctor Arrested for Performing Sex- Selective Abortions

A doctor in India was recently arrested for performing around 900 sex-selective abortions. It is estimated that Dr. Chandan Ballal aborted 20 babies a month for three years. He was not alone in the arrest, as many other staff members at the hospital he worked at were arrested, including the hospital’s manager. Their main motivation for murdering these children for the crime of being girls was most likely money, as each abortion cost about 30,000 rupees (\$350). We at PRI have researched and exposed the practice of sex-selective abortion in India in order to end the horrible practice. However, the crime persists as cultural preference for sons continues to put pressure on mothers.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.statnews.com/2023/11/09/abortion-clinic-msi-cancun-mexico-americans/>

<sup>2</sup> <https://christianconcern.com/news/indi-gregory-dies-during-the-night/>

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/namibia-eu-treaty-pro-lgbt-threats-sovereignty/>

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.spuc.org.uk/Article/385555/Doctor-arrested-for-performing-900-sex-selective-abortions-of-girls-in-India>



# PRI IN THE NEWS



## **The Epoch Times**

### **How Sheltering Couples from Debt Could Provide for the Future**

Many adults in the United States are discouraged from getting married and starting families, because of the burden of student loans that weigh upon them. In this piece for *The Epoch Times*, Mr. Mosher looks at how we can ease this burden in a way that will ultimately benefit the U.S. Democrats claim to want to just forgive the 1.7 trillion in debt, while many Republicans are determined that young Americans pay back every cent even if it costs the nation future generations. Mr. Mosher suggests a third approach. One that would motivate couples to have children and reward them for doing so. For each child, biological or adopted, that a couple raises, a percentage of their student loans would be forgiven. Couples willing to have, or adopt, three or more children would have their debt completely forgiven. This could reverse the United States' falling fertility rates, bring hope back to young couples who dream of having a family, and provide for the future of the nation as a whole.

#### **Viewership: 26.4 Million\***

<https://www.theepochtimes.com/opinion/america-needs-babies-5539436>



## **Life Site News**

### **The Suspicious Wuhan Power Outage Simulation**

At the end of November, the CCP performed a massive emergency power outage simulation in the city of Wuhan. Immediately, concerns were raised that this simulation is a predictor for the future, as coronavirus simulations were in the months before the global outbreak of COVID-19 in the same city. *Life Site News* referenced Mr. Mosher's own opinion on the matter which corroborated these concerns. "The various actions of the CCP, from simulating a power outage in Wuhan to locking down entire cities during Covid, have multiple explanations," Mosher said. "The Covid lockdowns were never justified medically, since they did not stop the spread of the virus and caused many deaths and ancillary damage. Like the present Wuhan power outage, they were in part civil defense drills, intended to instill obedience in the population in the event of domestic unrest or foreign war."

#### **Viewership: 2.3 Million\***

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/wuhan-power-outage-simulation-appears-eerily-similar-to-2019-coronavirus-exercise/>



## **EpochTV**

### **The CCP Killed Off Its Future**

China's real estate sector is collapsing, contributing to an economic crisis, which is just one of the many issues that the CCP is facing. In this exclusive interview on EpochTV's *American Thought Leaders*, Mr. Mosher detailed how the CCP has created all of the major problems China is facing today. Its issues stem from the corruption of the CCP and the wanton destruction of human capital in the last century; an estimated 400 million babies were killed as a result of the one-child policy. The CCP crippled their own future and can only watch as their country continues to crumble.

Mr. Mosher stated, "By killing off half of the last two generations, the Chinese Communist Party has killed off its future, literally. The only future that a family has is its children, and the only future that a nation has are its families and its children. The Chinese Communist Party, by far the biggest killing machine in human history, has killed off China's economic future by means of 35 years of the one-child policy."

#### **Viewership: 26.4 Million\***

<https://www.theepochtimes.com/audio/epochtv/how-the-ccp-killed-off-its-future-steven-mosher-5517455>

*\*total monthly website visitors on each organization's website*